

Exercise under hypoxia on glucose tolerance in type 2 diabetes mellitus risk individuals: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Hafizah Sururul Nur Rakhmawati^a, Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu^{b*}, Bambang Purwanto^c, Andre Andarianto^a

^aSport Health Science, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, ^bDivision of Biochemistry, Department of Physiology and Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, ^cDivision of Physiology, Department of Medical Physiology and Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

 Submission
 : 09-Jun-2023

 Revision
 : 04-Aug-2023

 Acceptance
 : 06-Nov-2023

 Web Publication
 : 26-Mar-2024

Abstract

Objectives: To analyze the impact of exercise under hypoxic exposure versus normoxic exposure on blood glucose level, insulin level, and insulin sensitivity in people at risk of Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). **Materials and Methods:** We systematically performed electronic searching on PubMed, Web of Science, ProQuest, and Scopus. Primary studies that met the inclusion criteria were analyzed using Revman 5.4.1. **Results:** Nine randomized controlled trials were included in this meta-analysis. We found that physical exercise under hypoxic exposure had no significant effect on improving blood glucose levels, insulin levels, and insulin sensitivity in the elderly and sedentary people compared to normoxic condition. However, physical exercise during hypoxic exposure had a significant effect on lowering blood glucose levels in overweight/obese individuals (pooled Standardized Mean Difference = 0.29; 95% confidence interval = 0.01-0.57; P = 0.04). **Conclusions:** Exercising under hypoxic exposure can be an alternative strategy for reducing blood glucose levels in overweight/obese people. Nevertheless, in other populations at risk of T2DM, exercising in hypoxic conditions gives similar results to normoxic conditions.

KEYWORDS: Exercise, Glucose tolerance, Hypoxic, Obesity, Type 2 diabetes mellitus

INTRODUCTION

iabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder characterized by high blood glucose levels that can be increasing mortality rate [1,2]. In 2021, estimated that there will be 537 million individuals (aged 20-79) globally with diabetes [3], with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) accounting for about 85% of cases [4] and type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) accounting for only 5%-15% of cases [5]. Finding effective therapeutic approaches to treat diabetes is essential. However, concentrating on people with prediabetes or pursuing people at risk of developing DM (before prediabetes manifests) may become concerns to halt the onset of T2DM [6]. Sedentary lifestyle, being elderly, being overweight or obese, and having insulin resistance are some risk factors for T2DM [6,7]. Intriguingly, the prevalence of DM is lower at high altitudes compared to sea level [8]. In comparison to lowlanders, highlanders are known to have lower fasting blood glucose levels and improved glucose tolerance [9].

Altitudes are environments between 1500 and 5500 m (5000 and 18,000 feet) above the sea level [10]. Significant physiological changes will be induced by both short- and long-term exposure to an altitude environment [11].

Supplement	ary material available online
Acce	ess this article online
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.tcmjmed.com
	DOI: 10.4103/tcmj.tcmj_144_23

The principal causes of these physiological changes are low atmospheric pressure and hypoxia, which result in reduced PO_2 levels [9]. The application of hypoxic exposure as a simulation of altitude to DM and those at risk for T2DM has been the focus of numerous studies in recent years. Hypoxic conditions are established artificially as a simulation of altitude by varying the barometric pressure (hypobaric hypoxic) or the percentage of fraction of inspired oxygen/ F_1O_2 in the room or chamber (normobaric hypoxic) [11].

Physical exercise is known to reduce insulin resistance, since muscular contractions enhance membrane permeability, and allow glucose to enter cells [12]. Physical exercise at high altitudes will cause physiological adaptation responses that are more rapid and robust than at sea level, since hypoxic conditions will induce physiological stress similar to that of physical exercise and cause various physiological changes (acclimatization) [13]. Physical exercise at high altitude is known to promote glucose uptake by skeletal

*Address for correspondence: Dr. Citrawati Dyah Kencono Wungu, Division of Biochemistry, Department of Physiology and Medical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Jl. Prof. Dr. Moestopo 47, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia. E-mail: citrawati.dyah@fk.unair.ac.id

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Rakhmawati HS, Wungu CD, Purwanto B, Andarianto A. Exercise under hypoxia on glucose tolerance in type 2 diabetes mellitus risk individuals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Tzu Chi Med J 2024;36(2):212-22.

muscles through an insulin-independent mechanism, hence promoting the process of lowering blood glucose levels [10,14]. According to a recent narrative review, certain studies that examined the effects of hypoxia exposure on glucose metabolism and health status in individuals at risk for T2DM demonstrated advantages over normoxic training. Nonetheless, some other studies exhibited no significant difference [6]. Therefore, we aim to conduct a meta-analysis assessing the benefits of physical exercise under hypoxic exposure versus normoxic condition on glucose tolerance in people at risk of T2DM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Searching and selection strategies

This review article followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Review (CRD42022362028). We performed an electronic database searching on PubMed, Web of Sciences, Proquest, and Scopus for articles up to September 2022. Our study was restricted to randomized controlled trials (RCTs) written in English and published since 2001. Articles other than RCTs, duplicated studies, incomplete data, and articles without full-text were excluded. MeSH terms, Boolean operators, asterisk (*), and automated tools offered by each database were all included in the search terms utilized [Table 1].

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The previously determined eligibility criteria before conducting this systematic review were studies involving individuals at risk of T2DM, which fulfil one of the following criteria: body mass index (BMI) >25 kg/m², elderly (>45 years old), or physically inactive/ sedentary (exercise <3 times/week). In addition, to ensure that the subjects were able to complete the exercise, studies involving subjects with good exercise tolerance were included, while studies involving subjects with cardiometabolic disease were excluded. Studies comparing physical exercise in altitude simulation performed under a hypoxic exposure to exercise conducted in a normoxic environment with any of the following outcomes: Blood glucose levels, insulin levels, or insulin sensitivity were included in this meta-analysis. Studies those published prior to 2001, not written in English, presenting incomplete data, not available in full-text and duplicates were excluded in this meta-analysis.

Data extraction

Data extraction was carried out by collecting the data and describing study characteristics (i.e., author, year of publication), sample characteristics (i.e., subject criteria used, age, and BMI), intervention characteristics (i.e., the dose of physical exercise and the dose of exposure to hypoxic/altitude simulation), and the outcomes (mean \pm SD) from blood glucose levels, insulin levels, and insulin sensitivity [Table 2].

Assessment of bias and quality

Risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) from Cochrane was used to analyze research bias in the RCT study design as a critical review to ensure the quality of the selected primary study articles. On this scale, five domains contain questions that covering randomization process, deviations from the intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result [15]. Only when at least ten studies have been included in the meta-analysis should tests for funnel plot asymmetry be applied [16]. Two reviewers (HSNR and AA) performed the searching, data extraction, and quality assessment. Any disagreement was solved by negotiation or a consensus meeting with the other two investigators (BP and CDKW).

Data analysis

A heterogeneity test was conducted to determine the analysis model. We performed fixed effect model for studies with low heterogeneity ($I^2 < 50\%$), while random effect model was used for studies with high heterogeneity $(I^2 > 50\%)$ [17,18]. The study will examine the intervention's effects on blood glucose levels, insulin levels, and insulin sensitivity between hypoxic and normoxic group. Pre- and post-test (on hypoxic and normoxic groups) and sub-group analysis was also carried out regarding exercise intensity (moderate and high intensity), training load (constant and progressive), and study duration (4 weeks and >4 weeks of intervention) to determine the effect on each group. In addition, a sensitivity analysis was carried out by eliminating the study with higher risk of bias [18]. Pooled standardized mean difference (SMD) was used to compare the effect of the exercise, with the outcomes were also presented in forest plot. Pooled MD is not suitable for our case since pooled SMD is used when multiple studies utilize various instruments or units to measure the same outcome [19]. The effect size of this study is interpreted where the effect size is considered small = 0.2, moderate = 0.5, and large = 0.8 [20]. The statistical power will be calculated using the meta power calculator (available for free on https://jtiebel.

Table 1: Concept and keywords	
Concept	Keywords
Related to hypoxic exposure/	(Hypoxi* OR Normoxi* OR Hypobari* OR Normobari* OR altitude OR "high altitude" OR "low oxygen" OR
altitude	"decreased oxygen" OR "oxygen deficienc*") NOT (Apne* OR pregnanc* OR Obstructive)
Related to glucose tolerance	Diabetes OR Diabetic OR hyperglycemi* OR prediabet* OR "impaired glucose toleran*" OR "impaired
	fasting glucose" OR dysglycemia OR "blood glucose" OR "glucose leve*" OR "glucose toleran*" OR "glucose
	homeo*" OR "glucose metabolism" OR "glycemic index" OR "glycemic control" OR "insulin level" OR "insulin
	sensitivit*" OR "HOMA*" OR "insulin resistan*" OR sedentary OR overweight OR obes* OR elderly OR older
Related to physical exercise	"Physical Activit*" OR "Physical Exercis*" OR Exercis* OR Training OR "Physical Fitness"

Table 2: Characte	sristics of	the include	d studies							
Author (year)	Country	Study	Subject	Age	BMI	Sampl	e size	Intervention		
		design			I	XH	NX	Exercise type (intensity)	Frequency - duration	F_iO_2
Wiesner et al. (2010) [22]	Germany	/ RCT-single blind	Overweight - obesity, sedentary lifestyle	42±7.1	30.2±3.6	24	21	Treadmill (60 min/65% HRmax)	3 days/ weeks-4 weeks	F ₁ O ₂ : 15% ~2.740 m)
Morishima <i>et al.</i> (2014) [28]	Japan	RCT	Overweight man, sedentary lifestyle	33±2	25.6±0.8	6	11	Ergocycling (60 min/55% VO ₂ max)	3 days/ weeks-4 weeks	F _i O ₂ : 15% ~2.500 m)
Gatterer <i>et al.</i> (2015) [23]	Austria	RCT-single blind	Obesity	52.4±7.9	36.3±40	16	16	Ergocycling/treadmill/cross training (90 min/65%– 70% HRmax)	2 days/ weeks-8 months	F _i O₂: 14% ~3.500 m)
Camacho-Cardenosa et al. (2018) [27]	Spain	RCT-double blind	Overweight - obesity	INT: 43.14±7.67 IHT: 44.43±7.18	INT: 29.59±5.25 IHT: 30.03±6.37	IT: 13	IT: 13	HIIT: Ergocycling 3 Progressive load: 24 min at min (90% Wmax) - first meeting, increased to 42 followed by 3 min min at weeks 9–12 active recovery (55%- 65% Wmax)	3 days/ weeks-12 weeks	F _i O ₂ : 17.2% ~1.500 m)
				RSN: 40.05±8.66 RSH: 37.40±10.3	RSN: 28.74±4.77 RSH: 27.71±4.55	RS: 18	RS: 15	Repeated-sprint: 30 s all-out (130% Wmax) followed by 3 min active recovery		
Shin <i>et al.</i> (2018) [29]	Japan	RCT	Normal - overweight men	HO: 445.6±20.9 NO: 46±20.5 HN: 28.6±15.6 NN: 77 8+13	HO: 26.8±2.3 NO: 27±3 HN: 21.5±1.9 1 NN: 21.1±2	OW: 8 Normoxic: 12	OW: 9 Normoxic: 12	Treadmill (50 min/60% HRmax)	3 days/ weeks-4 weeks	F ₁ O ₂ : 15.4% (~2.500 m)
Chobanyan-Jürgens <i>et al.</i> (2019) [24] Chacaroun	German) France	/ RCT-single blind RCT-single	Elderly (55–75 years old), sedentary lifestyle Overweight/obesity,	HX: 60.4±5.1 NX: 63.8±5.8 54±11	HX: 28.6±3 NX: 28.3±1.9 31.5±2.8	12 12	13	Ergocycling (30Progressive Load: 40 min/70%min/60% VO2 max)VO2 Max at 5-8 weeksErgocycling (45 min/75% of HRmax)	3 days/ weeks-8 weeks 3 days/ 	F ₁ O ₂ : 15% ~2.750 m) F ₁ O ₂ : 13%
Jung et al. (2020) [30]	Korea	RCT	Obesity woman (34–60 years old)	47.5±7.5	Control: 25.2±2 NX: 25.1±3.3 HX: 27.1±4.3	12	10	Pilates (50–2 min/type - 25 types)	3 days/ weeks-12 weeks	F ₁ O ₂ : 14.5% ∼3.000 m)
Kong et al. (2022) [26]	China	RCT-single blind	Sedentary woman	21.9±2.8	23±3.7	15	16	Ergocycling-interval Initial load: 1 kg–increase sprint (80 repetition-6 0.5 kg until each participant s all out-9 s recovery) reaches 5% body mass after 2 training sessions	3 days/ weeks-4 weeks	F ₁₀₂ : 15% ~2.500 m)
HIIT: High-intensity NO: Normoxic obesi	interval tra ty, NN: Nc	aining, INT: H ərmoxic norma	.IIT in normoxic, IHT: HI ul, OW: Overweight, HX:	IT in hypoxic, R Hypoxic, NX: N	SN: Repeated sy formoxic, RCT:	print in norr Randomize	noxic, RSH ed controlle	I: Repeated sprint in hypoxic, HO: Hypoxic-obesity, H d trial, BMI: Body mass index	I: Hypoxic-norm	ıl weight,

Downloaded from http://journals.lww.com/tcmj by BhDMf5ePHKav1zEoum1tQfN4a+kJLhEZgbsIHo4XMi0hCywCX1AW nYQp/IIQrHD3i3D00dRyi7TvSFI4Cf3VC4/0AVpDDa8KKGKV0Ymy+78= on 03/26/2024 shinyapps.io/MetaPowerCalculator/). A study is regarded adequately powered if it has a statistical power of 0.8 at a significance level of 0.05 [21].

RESULTS

Searching and selection strategies

Our literature search yielded 4.257 studies from four selected databases. These studies were then filtered using automatic tools or filters that available in the respective databases, such as Publication year, document type (Article), language, and subject area (n = 2.176). The duplication check was carried out using the Mendeley desktop application. After deleting the duplicates (n = 709), the remaining 1.467 studies were quickly filtered by reading the titles and abstracts. After screened each full-text according to the previously formulated inclusion and exclusion criteria, finally, we had eight studies and put additional one study from Google Scholar as gray literature. The total study used as the primary study in this research was nine studies. This selection process is described in the PRISMA Flow 2020 diagram [Figure 1].

Assessment of bias and quality

The results of bias analysis using RoB 2 on nine included studies showed that three studies had low risk and six studies had some concern of bias [Figure 2]. Sensitivity analysis was carried out by eliminating the study with higher of bias one by one and the results showed that there were no significant changes.

Data extraction

The overall study characteristics are summarized in Table 2. The included RCTs consisted of five studies using the single-blinding method [22-26], one study with double-blinding [27], and three studies in which the blinding methods were not described [28-30]. The total subjects from all nine studies were 274 people with either overweight obesity, elderly, or having sedentary activity [22-30]. The intervention given to all selected studies was a combination of physical exercise and exposure to hypoxic condition as a simulation of altitude by adjusting F.O., levels.

Analysis of the effect of physical exercise under hypoxic exposure on blood glucose levels

The effect of physical exercise under hypoxic and normoxic conditions on lowering blood glucose levels in adults at risk for diabetes was compared from seven research [Supplementary Table 1]. In a fixed-effect model, the findings of analysis revealed no significant difference between the two groups, as indicated by pooled SMD = 0.10 (95% confidence interval [CI] = -0.15-0.36; P = 0.43) [Figure 3]. In order to conduct a more in-depth analysis, the effect of lowering blood glucose levels in individuals at risk for diabetes was further examined using the pre- and post-test model under hypoxic and normoxic conditions. However, the results of the effect analysis also showed that there was no significant difference between pre- and post-test analyses in both hypoxic (pooled SMD = 0.25; 95% CI = -0.01-0.51;



*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers). **If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.

From: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71. For more information, visit: http://www.prisma-statement.org/

Figure 1: Selection study using preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses flow 2020



Figure 2: Risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials for bias assessment

P = 0.06) and normoxic condition (pooled SMD = 0.30; 95% CI = -0.20-0.80; P = 0.25) [Figure 4]. In addition, subgroup analyses were conducted regarding the dose of exercise, which included exercise intensity, training load, and project duration. However, all analyses also revealed no effect.

After including overweight-obese population only by eliminating one study with a BMI <25 kg/m² (normal weight) [26], different results were found [Figure 4]. Using the same model as previous by comparing the results of the pre- and post-test in the physical exercise group under hypoxic exposure, the results showed that physical exercise under hypoxic exposure had a low significant effect on reducing blood glucose levels compared to normoxic condition, as indicated by the pooled SMD value of 0.29 (CI = 0.01-0.57; P = 0.04) with the statistical power shows a value of 0.3124. The statistical with metapower calculator indicates the actual effect size derived when heterogeneity is considered. SMD can be translated back into a scale that is more familiar to doctors to make it more therapeutically meaningful, so in this study an experiment was carried out to convert the SMD value into natural units by choosing the standard deviation obtained from the largest experiment [31], which is 14.39. The overall mean difference was a reduction of fasting blood glucose by exercise under hypoxic of 0.29 (95% CI, 0.01-0.57) more than the reduction from exercise under normoxic. This is equivalent to a reduction in hypoxic exposure of 4.17 mg/dL. In several analyses related to blood glucose levels, one study carried out measurements twice (in the 3rd and 8th months) [23]. Furthermore, one study was carried out by two types of exercise (HIIT and Repeated Sprint) [27], so these two studies were mentioned repeatedly.

Analysis of the effect of physical exercise under hypoxic exposure on insulin levels

Five studies have evaluated the effect of elevating insulin levels in people at risk of T2DM by comparing the effects of exercise under hypoxic and normoxic exposure [Supplementary Table 2]. The findings of the heterogeneity test showed that this study had substantial variance (heterogeneous) as indicated by $I^2 = 91\%$, thus the effect analysis was then carried out using random effect. The effect analysis results showed no significant effect between the two groups, as indicated by P = 0.60. A further investigation was also carried out about the effect of elevating insulin levels in individuals at risk of T2DM utilizing the pre- and post-test model under exposure to hypoxic and normoxic. The results of the effect analysis showed that there was no significant effect from the two [Figure 5].

Analysis of the effect of physical exercise under hypoxic exposure on insulin sensitivity

Five studies have investigated the effect of improving insulin sensitivity in people at risk of T2DM by comparing the effects of exercise under hypoxic and normoxic exposure [Supplementary Table 3]. The findings of the heterogeneity test showed that this study had high heterogeneity, as indicated by $I^2 = 77\%$, thus we performed a random effect model. The effect analysis results showed no significant effect between the two groups, as demonstrated by P = 0.53 (CI = -01.01-0.52). An evaluation was also carried out about the effect of improving insulin sensitivity in people at risk of T2DM with the pre-and post-test model under exposure to hypoxic and normoxic. The results of the effect study similarly showed that there was no significant influence between the two groups [Figure 6].

DISCUSSION

Several risk factors for T2DM are well known. Among them are being overweight-obese, having a sedentary lifestyle and being old [6]. Being overweight-obese is one of the main modifiable risk factors [32,33]. Nearly 90% of diabetes patients are previously obese [34]. The risk of diabetes and prediabetes increases with a significant BMI increasing in overweight-obese subjects [32]. An increase in the number of fatty acids, glycerol, hormones, pro-inflammatory cytokines, and other factors will cause disturbances in pancreatic β -cells, insulin sensitivity, and ultimately cause failure to control blood glucose levels [7,32]. Another risk is sedentary lifestyle, it defined as a sedentary physical activity by doing physical exercises <3 days/week which can cause progressive loss of β-cells, thereby reducing insulin sensitivity and impaired glucose tolerance [7]. The last is aging. A clear relationship has been found between the prevalence of diabetes and increasing age in individuals, as evidenced by the results of studies where a risk of <2% (16-34 years), 5.1% (35-54 years), 14.3% (55-74 years), and 16.5% (>75 years) [32]. Aging will increase chronic inflammation and disruption of lipid metabolism due to the accumulation of body fat, which leads to insulin resistance [7].

The incidence of T2DM has been found to have an inverse comparison with physical exercise [35]. It because the contractile activity of the muscles during exercise can induce signals to stimulate glucose uptake by insulin independent, it can also provide a synergistic effect when combined with insulin action on the disposal or utilization of blood

a	Hv	noxia		Nor	moxia			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Year	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
Morishima T; et al (2014)	92	3	9	93	2	11	8.4%	-0.38 [-1.27, 0.51]	2014	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	111.1	18.6	16	116.3	40.1	16	13.8%	-0.16 [-0.86, 0.53]	2015	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	120.1	40.4	16	111.7	16.8	16	13.7%	0.26 [-0.43, 0.96]	2015	
Shin S; et al (2018)	97.5	13.22	12	102.57	14.7	10	7.2%	-0.34 [-1.30, 0.62]	2018	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	103.21	7 91	13	102.07	7.46	15	13.8%	0.41 [-0.37, 1.19]	2018	
Chacaroun S: et al (2020)	5.8	0.7	12	5.8	0.8	11	9.9%	0.00 [-0.82, 0.82]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	101.8	17.3	12	94.6	8.6	10	9.1%	0.49 [-0.36, 1.35]	2020	
Kong Z; et al (2022)	4.6	0.3	15	4.6	0.3	16	13.4%	0.00 [-0.70, 0.70]	2022	
Total (95% CI)			119			117	100.0%	0.10 [-0.15, 0.36]		—
Heterogeneity: Chin = 5.05, di	1 = 8 (P = 1 0 / P = 0 4	0.75); in 2)	= 0%							-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1
restion overall ellect. Z = 0.75	5 (F = 0.4.	3)								Hypoxia Normoxia
b	Hy	poxia		Nor	moxia			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Year	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Moderate Intensity										
Morishima T; et al (2014)	92	3	9	93	2	11	3.1%	-0.38 [-1.27, 0.51]	2014	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	111.1	18.6	12	110.3	40.1	15	4.3%	-0.10[-0.92, 0.01]	2015	
Shin S: et al (2013)	97.5	13.22	8	102.57	14.7	9	4.3%	-0.34 [-1.30, 0.62]	2015	
Subtotal (95% CI)	01.0	10.22	41	102.01	14.1	50	14.4%	-0.11 [-0.53, 0.30]	2010	-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.58, d	f= 3 (P = 1	0.66); l²	= 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.5	3 (P = 0.6	0)								
4.4.0 High Interaction										
1.1.2 High Intensity	105.04	0.70	40	100.07	5.00	40	1.10	0.44.40.07.4.40	2040	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	105.21	7.01	13	102.07	5.69	13	4.1%	0.41 [-0.37, 1.19]	2018	
Kong Z: et al (2022)	4.6	0.3	15	4.6	0.3	16	5.0%	0.00 [-0.70, 0.70]	2010	
Subtotal (95% CI)			46		0.0	44	14.2%	0.27 [-0.15, 0.68]		-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.85, d	f= 2 (P = 1	0.65); l²	= 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.2	5 (P = 0.2	1)								
4.4.2 Constant Load										
1.1.3 Constant Load	00	2		02	2	11	2.10	0 20 (4 27 0 64)	2014	
Gatterer H: et al (2014)	92	ر 196	12	93	40.1	11	3.1%	-0.38 [-1.27, 0.51]	2014	
Gatterer H: et al (2015)	120.1	40.4	12	111.7	16.8	15	4.3%	0.28 [-0.49, 1.04]	2015	
Shin S; et al (2018)	97.5	13.22	8	102.57	14.7	9	2.7%	-0.34 [-1.30, 0.62]	2018	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	5.8	0.7	12	5.8	0.8	11	3.7%	0.00 [-0.82, 0.82]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	101.8	17.3	12	94.6	8.6	10	3.4%	0.49 [-0.36, 1.35]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)			65			71	21.5%	0.00 [-0.34, 0.34]		-
Heterogeneity: Chif = 3.14, d	1 = 5 (P = 1)	0.68); I* 0\	= 0%							
Test for overall effect. Z = 0.0	2 (P = 0.9	9)								
1.1.4 Progressive Load										
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	105.21	8.72	13	102.07	5.69	13	4.1%	0.41 [-0.37, 1.19]	2018	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	103.64	7.91	18	100.4	7.46	15	5.2%	0.41 [-0.28, 1.10]	2018	
Kong Z; et al (2022)	4.6	0.3	15	4.6	0.3	16	5.0%	0.00 [-0.70, 0.70]	2022	
Subtotal (95% CI)	6 0 (D	0.000.17	46			44	14.2%	0.27 [-0.15, 0.68]		
Test for overall effect: 7 = 1.2	5 (P = 0.2	0.65); F 1)	= 0%							
restion overall ellect. 2 = 1.2	5 (1 - 0.2	0								
1.1.5 4 Weeks Intervention										
Morishima T; et al (2014)	92	3	9	93	2	11	3.1%	-0.38 [-1.27, 0.51]	2014	
Shin S; et al (2018)	97.5	13.22	8	102.57	14.7	9	2.7%	-0.34 [-1.30, 0.62]	2018	
Kong Z; et al (2022) Subtotal (95% CI)	4.6	0.3	15	4.6	0.3	16	5.0%	0.00 [-0.70, 0.70]	2022	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.66 d	f= 2 (P = 1	0.76\-	= 0%			50	10.0%	-0.20 [-0.00, 0.20]		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.8	0 (P = 0.4)	2)	- 070							
1.1.6 >4 Weeks Intervention										
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	111.1	18.6	12	116.3	40.1	15	4.3%	-0.16 [-0.92, 0.61]	2015	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	120.1	40.4	12	111.7	16.8	15	4.3%	0.28 [-0.49, 1.04]	2015	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018) Camacho-C A; et al (2019)	103.21	8.72	13	102.07	5.69	13	4.1%	0.41 [-0.37, 1.19]	2018	
Chacaroun S: et al (2018)	5.8	0.7	10	5.8	0.40	11	3.7%	0.00 [-0.20, 1.10]	2010	
Jung K; et al (2020)	101.8	17.3	12	94.6	8.6	10	3.4%	0.49 [-0.36, 1.35]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)			79			79	24.9%	0.24 [-0.08, 0.56]		-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2.13, d	f= 5 (P = 1	0.83); l²	= 0%							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.4	9 (P = 0.1	4)								
Total (95% CI)			300			324	100.0%	0.10[0.06.0.26]		_
Heterogeneity Chi ² = 13.90	df = 24 /P	= 0.95)	· ² = 00	6		524	100.0%	0.10[-0.00, 0.20]		
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.2	3 (P = 0.2	2)								-2 -1 0 1 2
Test for subaroup difference	s: Chi ² = 4	1.78. df :	= 5 (P =	: 0.44), I ²	= 0%					пурода мотпохіа

Figure 3: Forest plot of blood glucose levels analysis comparing exercise under hypoxia exposure versus normoxia. (a). Overall data (b). Subgroup analysis: Moderate intensity, high intensity, constant load, progressive load, 4 weeks intervention, >4 weeks interventions

glucose [36]. Performing physical exercises at altitude have been widely used worldwide since the 1968 Olympics in

Mexico and are famously done to increase endurance [13]. Physical training at altitude causes a physiological

a	Pr	e-Test		Pos	st-Test			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	Year	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.2.1 Overall Subject										
Morishima T; et al (2014)	100	3	9	92	3	9	2.1%	2.54 [1.22, 3.86]	2014	\longrightarrow
Gatterer H: et al (2015)	123	41.3	16	111.1	18.6	16	7.4%	0.36 [-0.34, 1.06]	2015	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	123	41.3	16	120.1	40.4	16	7.5%	0.07 [-0.62, 0.76]	2015	
Shin S; et al (2018)	100.75	38.49	8	97.5	13.22	8	3.8%	0.11 [-0.87, 1.09]	2018	
Camacho-C A: et al (2018)	105.28	6.32	13	105.21	8.72	13	6.1%	0.01 [-0.76, 0.78]	2018	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	109.43	14.39	18	103.64	7.91	18	8.2%	0.49 [-0.18, 1.15]	2018	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	5.8	0.8	12	5.8	0.7	12	5.7%	0.00 [-0.80, 0.80]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	103.2	17.9	12	101.8	17.3	12	5.7%	0.08 [-0.72, 0.88]	2020	
Kong Z; et al (2022)	4.6	0.3	15	4.6	0.3	15	7.1%	0.00 [-0.72, 0.72]	2022	
Subtotal (95% CI)			119			119	53.5%	0.25 [-0.01, 0.51]		◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 13.94,	df = 8 (P =	0.08);	² = 439	6						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.8	9 (P = 0.0	6)								
		-,								
1.2.2 Overweight-Obesity Or	nly									
Morishima T; et al (2014)	100	3	9	92	3	9	2.1%	2.54 [1.22, 3.86]	2014	
Gatterer H: et al (2015)	123	41.3	16	111.1	18.6	16	7.4%	0.36 [-0.34, 1.06]	2015	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	123	41.3	16	120.1	40.4	16	7.5%	0.07 [-0.62, 0.76]	2015	
Camacho-C A: et al (2018)	105.28	6.32	13	105.21	8.72	13	6.1%	0.01 (-0.76, 0.78)	2018	
Shin S: et al (2018)	100.75	38.49	8	97.5	13.22	8	3.8%	0.11 [-0.87, 1.09]	2018	
Camacho-C A: et al (2018)	109.43	14.39	18	103.64	7.91	18	8.2%	0.49 [-0.18, 1.15]	2018	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	5.8	0.8	12	5.8	0.7	12	5.7%	0.00 [-0.80, 0.80]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	103.2	17.9	12	101.8	17.3	12	5.7%	0.08 [-0.72, 0.88]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)			104			104	46.5%	0.29 [0.01, 0.57]		◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 13.40,	df = 7 (P =	0.06);	² = 489	6						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.03	2 (P = 0.0	4)								
Total (95% CI)			223			223	100.0%	0.27 [0.08, 0.46]		◆
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 27.38,	df = 16 (P	= 0.04)	² = 42	%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.70	6 (P = 0.0	06)								Pre-Test Post-Test
Test for subaroup differences	s: Chi ² = 0	.04. df:	= 1 (P =	0.84), I ²	= 0%					110-103(103(103(
b	Pr	e-Test		Pos	st-Test			Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Morishima T; et al (2014)	100	2	11	93	2	11	7.2%	3.37 [1.99, 4.75]	2014	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	117.3	39	16	116.3	40.1	16	12.4%	0.02 [-0.67, 0.72]	2015	
Gatterer H; et al (2015)	117.3	39	16	111.7	16.8	16	12.3%	0.18 [-0.51, 0.88]	2015	
Shin S; et al (2018)	106.71	23.21	9	102.57	14.7	9	10.4%	0.20 [-0.72, 1.13]	2018	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	104.14	8.45	13	102.07	5.69	13	11.7%	0.28 [-0.49, 1.05]	2018	
Camacho-C A; et al (2018)	104.47	7.56	15	100.4	7.46	15	12.0%	0.53 [-0.20, 1.26]	2018	+
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	5.8	1	11	5.8	0.8	11	11.2%	0.00 [-0.84, 0.84]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	86.8	8.5	10	94.6	8.6	10	10.4%	-0.87 [-1.80, 0.05]	2020	
Kong Z; et al (2022)	4.6	0.2	16	4.6	0.3	16	12.4%	0.00 [-0.69, 0.69]	2022	
Total (95% CI)			117			117	100.0%	0.30 [-0.20, 0.80]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.40: C	chi ² = 26.9	39. df =	8 (P = 0).0007): F	² = 70%	5				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.1	6 (P = 0.2	5)								-4 -2 0 2 4
		-,								Pre-lest Post-lest

Figure 4: Forest plot of blood glucose levels analysis comparing pretest versus posttest of exercise under hypoxia exposure (a) All subject, (b) overweight-obese individuals only, (c) Analysis comparing pretest versus posttest of exercise under normoxia exposure

adaptation response faster and more significantly than at low altitude [13,37]. Exercising at altitude is also known to increase glucose uptake through an insulin-independent mechanism to the skeletal muscles that will further encourage the process of reducing blood glucose levels because both physical exercise and being in a hypoxic environment facilitate this [10,38].

To our knowledge, this is the first study that systematically investigates the effect of physical exercise under hypoxic compared to normoxic condition on glucose in people at risk of T2DM. The present meta-analysis revealed that physical exercise under exposure to hypoxia did not give a significant effect on improving blood glucose levels, insulin levels, and insulin sensitivity in elderly and sedentary people. However, physical exercise under exposure hypoxia has a low significant effect on reducing blood glucose levels in subjects with BMI >25 kg/m². The reason for the insignificant

result was mentioned by possibly due to insufficient dose of intervention (either intensity, amount, or duration) to induce changes significantly [25,26]. Even though high-intensity exercise and hypoxic exposure have been carried out, this may still not be enough to cause a hypoxic condition for the subject [24]. This is because the regulation of the hypoxic environment simulation is carried out by adjusting the F_iO₂ level in the chamber or mask, while the hypoxic response in each individual can vary from one to another [25]. Therefore, compared to giving exposure to hypoxia by adjusting the F₁O₂ level in a mask or chamber, it would be better if a target of $SpO_2 = 80\%$ was used for each subject so that hypoxic conditions could be controlled precisely [26]. In addition, the various study characteristics may also affect the results of the analysis. Analysis of the time or duration of the study showed that training within 4 weeks and >4 weeks did not have a significant effect. Even yet, a shorter training regimen

a	н	ypoxia	1	N	ormoxi	а		Std. Mean Differen	се	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Tota	Mean	SD	Tota	l Weight	IV, Random, 95%	6 CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Morishima T; et al (2014)	7.8	1.6	g) 3	0.2	1	1 16.8%	4.27 [2.55, 5.	.99]	
Shin S; et al (2018)	9.58	6.88	8	9.91	9.42		9 20.3%	-0.04 [-0.99, 0.	.91]	-
Jung K; et al (2020)	7.4	2.9	12	8.1	2.6	1	0 20.7%	0.45 [-0.40, 1.	30]	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	9.3	4.1	12	11.3	6.9	1	1 20.8%	-0.34 [-1.17, 0.	48]	
Wiesner A; et al (2010)	5.3	0.7	24	6.5	0.7	2	1 21.3%	-1.68 [-2.37, -0.	99]	
Total (95% CI)			65	5		6	2 100.0%	0.37 [-1.02, 1.	761	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 2.25	$i: Chi^2 = 4$	5.89. (df = 4 (P < 0.00	0001): (² = 91	%	•		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0).52 (P = 1	0.60)					~			-4 -2 0 2 4 Hypoxia Normoxia
5	Pr€	e-Test		Pos	st-Test		S	td. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.4.1 Insulin Levels Normo	xia									
Wiesner A; et al (2010)	9.7	1.5	21	6.5	0.7	21	10.3%	2.68 [1.83, 3.54]	2010	
Morishima T; et al (2014)	4.7	0.6	11	3	0.2	11	8.5%	3.66 [2.20, 5.11]	2014	
Shin S; et al (2018)	10.76	8.49	9	9.91	9.42	9	10.1%	0.09 [-0.83, 1.01]	2018	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	11.7	4.5	11	11.3	6.9	11	10.3%	0.07 [-0.77, 0.90]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	5.4	2	10	6.1	2.6	10	10.2%	-0.29 [-1.17, 0.59]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.62-11	25 46	02		4).17	02	49.5%	1.18 [-0.23, 2.60]		
Heterogeneity: 1 au ⁺ = 2.34;	Chi*= 44.	25, 01:	= 4 (P <	× 0.0000	1); 1*=	91%				
Test for overall effect. $Z = 1.5$	04 (F = 0.1	10)								
2.4.2 Insulin Levels Hypoxi	a									
Wiesner A; et al (2010)	8.4	1.3	24	5.3	0.7	24	10.3%	2.92 [2.09, 3.75]	2010	
Morishima T; et al (2014)	10	2	9	7.8	1.6	9	9.8%	1.16 [0.14, 2.17]	2014	
Shin S; et al (2018)	15.01	12.32	8	9.58	6.88	8	9.8%	0.51 [-0.49, 1.52]	2018	-+
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	9.7	4.2	12	9.3	4.1	12	10.4%	0.09 [-0.71, 0.89]	2020	_ _
Jung K; et al (2020)	8	3.1	12	7.4	2.9	12	10.4%	0.19 [-0.61, 1.00]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)			65			65	50.7%	0.98 [-0.11, 2.06]		-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.32;	Chi ² = 30.	26, df :	= 4 (P <	< 0.0000	1); l² =	87%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1$.	76 (P = 0.0	08)								
Total (95% CI)			127			127	100.0%	1.07 [0.24, 1.90]		◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.56;	Chi ² = 74.	54, df :	= 9 (P <	< 0.0000	1); l² =	88%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.	52 (P = 0.0	D1)								-4 -2 U Z 4 Pre-Test Post-Test
Test for subaroup difference	es: Chi ² =	0.05. c	if = 1 (F	P = 0.82)	. I ² = 09	6				116-1651 1 03(-1651

Figure 5: Forest plot of insulin levels analysis. (a) Analysis comparing exercise under hypoxia exposure versus normoxia, (b) Subgroup analysis comparing pre-test versus post-test of exercise under hypoxia and normoxia

might produce better outcomes. Exercises performed in normoxia and hypoxia as well as measurements taken in the 3rd and 8th months showed that the examination results in the 3rd month were better than those in the eighth [23]. This shows that endocrine adaptation has a limit after a specific amount of time, including glucoregulatory hormones and metabolites, thus it is best to avoid using the same intervention or stimulation beyond 3 months [23].

In the analysis of physical exercise under hypoxic exposure on decreasing blood glucose levels by comparing the pre- and post-test groups, different results were found after the subject's BMI criteria were specified to BMI >25 kg/m² (overweight-obese) by eliminating 1 study [26]. In general, obesity is associated to hypoxic condition [39]. Several potential reasons that cause hypoxic conditions in obesity, including: (1) Insufficient blood supply to adipose tissue [40]. In obese subjects, a decrease in blood flow to adipose tissue and muscle was found by around 30%-40% compared to nonobese subjects [41]. It is also known that capillary density is 44% lower and vascular endothelial growth factor is 58% lower, which indicates lower PO, levels in overweight and obese subjects compared to nonobese subjects [39,40], (2) obese subjects will experience adipose cell hyperplasia and hypertrophy [42]. The adipose tissue will increase while the oxygen diffusion capacity is limited to 150-200 µm

only [39] and (3) increased oxygen demand by adipose cells and inflammatory cells [40].

As previously indicated, hypoxia is not always experienced by all subjects exposed to hypoxia because individual reactions differ [25,26]. This complex adaptation of hypoxic tendencies due to changes in oxygen concentrations in adipose tissue that are dependent on body fat may be responsible for the disparities in blood glucose levels between overweight and obese patients [26]. Overweight and obesity, as well as physical activity and exposure to hypoxia, limit oxygen supply. When oxygen supply and demand are imbalanced (need is greater than supply), a progressive transition from aerobic glycolysis to anaerobic glycolysis occurs in the mitochondria [43]. To sustain the current level of ATP production, anaerobic glycolysis will accelerate [44]. Despite producing less ATP than aerobic glycolysis, anaerobic glycolysis occurs 100 times more quickly [45]. This increase in glycolysis will result in a rise in glucose uptake and a subsequent decrease in blood glucose levels [46]. The combination of exposure to a hypoxic environment and exercise in people with obesity would have a good influence by normalizing glucose and lipid metabolism, boosting blood flow, and decreasing inflammation and fibrosis [43].

Based on the effect analysis results, a decrease in blood glucose levels was found. In contrast, insulin levels and

a	Hy	/poxia		No	rmoxia	a		Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Wiesner A; et al (2010)	1.1	0.2	24	1.4	0.2	21	21.7%	-1.47 [-2.14, -0.81]	2010	
Shin S; et al (2018)	2.31	1.64	8	2.59	2.5	9	18.5%	-0.12 [-1.08, 0.83]	2018	
Chobanyan-J K; et al (2019)	3	1	12	2.7	1.6	13	20.4%	0.22 [-0.57, 1.00]	2019	
Jung K; et al (2020)	1.9	0.9	12	1.4	0.7	10	19.5%	0.59 [-0.27, 1.45]	2020	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	1.27	0.49	12	1.5	0.92	11	19.9%	-0.30 [-1.13, 0.52]	2020	
Total (95% CI)			68			64	100.0%	-0 24 [-1 01 0 52]		
Hotorogonoity: $T_{2}u^2 = 0.59$: Ch		0 df-	1 /P -	0.001\-	12 - 77	06	100.070	-0.24 [-1.01, 0.02]		
Test for overall effect: 7 = 0.62	P = 17.0	2) 2)	4 (F -	0.001),		70				-2 -1 0 1 2
resciol overall ellect. 2 = 0.03	(1 = 0.5	3)								Hypoxia Normoxia
b	Pr	e-Test		Po	st-Tes	t		Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	Year	IV, Random, 95% CI
3.2.1 Insulin Sensitivity Norma	oxia									
Wiesner A; et al (2010)	2.1	0.3	21	1.4	0.2	21	10.0%	2.69 [1.84, 3.55]	2010	
Shin S; et al (2018)	2.81	2.17	9	2.59	2.5	9	9.8%	0.09 [-0.84, 1.01]	2018	
Chobanyan-J K; et al (2019)	2.9	1.5	13	2.7	1.6	13	10.3%	0.12 [-0.64, 0.89]	2019	
Chacaroun S; et al (2020)	1.55	0.59	11	1.5	0.92	11	10.1%	0.06 [-0.77, 0.90]	2020	
Jung K; et al (2020)	1.2	0.5	10	1.4	0.7	10	9.9%	-0.31 [-1.20, 0.57]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)			64			64	50.0%	0.53 [-0.53, 1.60]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.28; Ch	ni² = 31.2	22, df =	4 (P <	0.0000	1); l² =	87%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.98	(P = 0.3	3)								
3.2.2 Inculin Soneithithy (Lhmo)	via)									
Wissmar A: et al (2010)	1.0	0.2	24	1 1	0.2	24	10.0%	2 00 (2 22 2 0 4)	2010	
Chip C: et al (2010)	2.44	2.24	24	2.21	1.64	24	0.5%	3.09 [2.23, 3.94]	2010	
Chobanyan, LV: et al (2010)	3.44	2.34	12	2.31	1.04	12	9.070	0.55 [-0.47, 1.55]	2010	
Chocaroun St et al (2013)	2.1	1.4	12	10	0.0	12	10.1%	0.40[-0.41, 1.21]	2019	
lung k': et al (2020)	1.3	0.67	12	1 27	0.5	12	10.2%	0.05 [-0.00, 1.01]	2020	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1.5	0.57	68	1.27	0.45	68	50.0%	0.85 [-0.26, 1.96]	2020	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1 40° Ch	$i^2 = 33.9$	99. df=	4 (P <	0 0000	1): I ² =	88%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.51$	(P = 0.1	3)		0.0000	.,, ,					
		-/								
Total (95% CI)			132			132	100.0%	0.69 [-0.04, 1.42]		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.19; Ch	ni² = 66.3	33, df =	9 (P <	0.0000	1); I ² =	86%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.86	(P = 0.0)	6)								-4 -Z U Z 4 Pro-Tast Post-Tast
Test for subgroup differences:	Chi ² = 0).17, d	f=1 (P	= 0.68)	l ² = 0	%				FIE-TEST FUSIFIEST

Figure 6: Forest plot of insulin sensitivity analysis. (a) Analysis comparing exercise under hypoxia exposure versus normoxia, (b) Subgroup analysis comparing pre-test versus post-test of exercise under hypoxia and normoxia

sensitivity parameters were not detected to have improved. This is due to hypoxic conditions, stimulating more blood glucose uptake with independent insulin [10,14]. It was previously known that insulin would stimulate GLUT-4 as a glucose co-transporter which causes an increase in glucoregulation so that blood glucose levels can decrease [47,48]. Insulin is also mentioned as anti-GSK3, which will activate glucose synthesis, leading to reduced blood glucose levels [49]. Nonetheless, hypoxic conditions and greater muscular contraction due to exercise can increase the adenosine monophosphate (AMP)/ ATP ratio, resulting in the activation of AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase) [50]. AMPK will then activate AS160 and induce an increase in GLUT-4 translocation, resulting in a decrease in glucose absorption and blood glucose levels [51-53]. It was also discovered that an increase in AMPK would phosphorylate GSK3 and render it inactive [54]. Glycogen synthase kinase-3 (GSK3) is reportedly one of the enzymes that regulate glycogen synthesis (GS) [55]. GSK3 inactivation has been demonstrated to have an anti-diabetic impact by stimulating GS so that glycogenesis increases and blood glucose levels decrease [49]. In addition to enhancing GS, it might also inhibit gluconeogenesis and effectively lowering blood glucose levels in rat models of T2DM [55]. Consequently, it is possible to reduce blood glucose levels without increasing insulin production.

Furthermore, several limitations may cause the results of the analysis of exercise under hypoxic exposure to be insignificant, such as due to the small number of studies that can be analyzed accompanied by a relatively few of subjects, such as in the moderate-intensity exercise subgroup (4 data from 3 studies), exercise with program duration 4 weeks (3 data from 3 studies), training with progressive loads (3 data from 3 studies). In addition, it is fascinating to conduct research in developing countries because the primary studies are carried out in developed countries.

CONCLUSIONS

Our meta-analysis found that physical exercise in a hypoxic condition did not significantly improve blood glucose levels, insulin levels, and insulin sensitivity in people at risk of developing T2DM compared to normoxic condition. However, but it had a benefits on reducing blood glucose level in subjects with BMI >25 kg/m². To better regulate hypoxic conditioning in each person, the stimulation of hypoxic must be conducted that is more concentrated on employing SpO₂ targets than on modifying F_iO_2 levels in chambers or masks. In order to fully comprehend the range of impacts and physiological pathways, further research is required on the type, amount, and features of exercise and hypoxia.

Data availability statement

All the data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Cole JB, Florez JC. Genetics of diabetes mellitus and diabetes complications. Nat Rev Nephrol 2020;16:377-90.
- Purwanto B, Wiyasihati SI, Masyitha PA, Wigati KW, Irwadi I. Golden sea cucumber extract revives glucose transporter-4 and interleukin-6 protein level in diabetic mouse muscle. Vet World 2019;12:684-8.
- Boyko EJ, Magliano DJ, Karuranga S, Piemonte L, Riley P, Saeedi P, et al., (eds). TIDF (IDF). In: IDF diabetes atlas; 10th edition. 10th ed. UK: Berkeley Communications; 2021.
- Forouhi NG, Wareham NJ. Epidemiology of diabetes. Medicine (Abingdon) 2014;42:698-702.
- Mobasseri M, Shirmohammadi M, Amiri T, Vahed N, Hosseini Fard H, Ghojazadeh M. Prevalence and incidence of type 1 diabetes in the world: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Promot Perspect 2020;10:98-115.
- De Groote E, Deldicque L. Is physical exercise in hypoxia an interesting strategy to prevent the development of type 2 diabetes? A narrative review. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 2021;14:3603-16.
- Ismail L, Materwala H, Al Kaabi J. Association of risk factors with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2021;19:1759-85.
- Koufakis T, Karras SN, Mustafa OG, Zebekakis P, Kotsa K. The effects of high altitude on glucose homeostasis, metabolic control, and other diabetes-related parameters: From animal studies to real life. High Alt Med Biol 2019;20:1-11.
- Woolcott OO, Ader M, Bergman RN. Glucose homeostasis during short-term and prolonged exposure to high altitudes. Endocr Rev 2015;36:149-73.
- de Mol P, de Vries ST, de Koning EJ, Gans RO, Bilo HJ, Tack CJ. Physical activity at altitude: Challenges for people with diabetes: A review. Diabetes Care 2014;37:2404-13.
- Kim SW, Jung WS, Chung S, Park HY. Exercise intervention under hypoxic condition as a new therapeutic paradigm for type 2 diabetes mellitus: A narrative review. World J Diabetes 2021;12:331-43.
- Hanifah AH, Soelistijo SA, Purwanto B. Correlation between physical activity level and therapeutic success on patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Dr. Soetomo General Hospital Surabaya. Indian J Public Health 2020;11:1-8.
- Gunga HC. Human physiology in extreme environments. India: Andre Gerhard Wolff; 2021.
- Goto K, Morishima T, Kurobe K, Huang Z, Ogita F. Augmented carbohydrate oxidation under moderate hypobaric hypoxia equivalent to simulated altitude of 2500 m. Tohoku J Exp Med 2015;236:163-8.
- Sterne JA, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: A revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2019;366:14898.
- Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0. UK: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011. Available from: https://www.handbook.cochrane.org. [Last accessed on 2023 Sep 06].
- Zhang Y, Zhou L, Liu X, Liu L, Wu Y, Zhao Z, et al. The effectiveness of the problem-based learning teaching model for use in introductory Chinese undergraduate medical courses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015;10:e0120884.

- Liu Y, Li Z, Li H, Zhang Y, Wang P. Protective effect of surgery against early subtalar arthrodesis in displaced intra-articular calcaneal fractures: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e1984-0.
- Andrade C. Mean difference, standardized mean difference (SMD), and their use in meta-analysis: As simple as it gets. J Clin Psychiatry 2020;81:20f13681.
- Takeshima N, Sozu T, Tajika A, Ogawa Y, Hayasaka Y, Furukawa TA. Which is more generalizable, powerful and interpretable in meta-analyses, mean difference or standardized mean difference? BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:30.
- Silva VP, Silva MP, Silva VL, Mantovani DB, Mittelmann JV, Oliveira JV, et al. Effect of physical exercise on sleep quality in ederly adults: A systematic review with a meta-analysis of controlled and randomized studies. J Ageing Longev 2022;2:85-97.
- Wiesner S, Haufe S, Engeli S, Mutschler H, Haas U, Luft FC, et al. Influences of normobaric hypoxia training on physical fitness and metabolic risk markers in overweight to obese subjects. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2010;18:116-20.
- 23. Gatterer H, Haacke S, Burtscher M, Faulhaber M, Melmer A, Ebenbichler C, et al. Normobaric intermittent hypoxia over 8 months does not reduce body weight and metabolic risk factors – A randomized, single blind, placebo-controlled study in normobaric hypoxia and normobaric sham hypoxia. Obes Facts 2015;8:200-9.
- Chobanyan-Jürgens K, Scheibe RJ, Potthast AB, Hein M, Smith A, Freund R, et al. Influences of hypoxia exercise on whole-body insulin sensitivity and oxidative metabolism in older individuals. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2019;104:5238-48.
- Chacaroun S, Borowik A, Vega-Escamilla Y Gonzalez I, Doutreleau S, Wuyam B, Belaidi E, et al. Hypoxic exercise training to improve exercise capacity in obese individuals. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2020;52:1641-9.
- Kong Z, Lei OK, Sun S, Li L, Shi Q, Zhang H, et al. Hypoxic repeated sprint interval training improves cardiorespiratory fitness in sedentary young women. J Exerc Sci Fit 2022;20:100-7.
- Camacho-Cardenosa A, Camacho-Cardenosa M, Brazo-Sayavera J, Burtscher M, Timón R, Olcina G. Effects of high-intensity interval training under normobaric hypoxia on cardiometabolic risk markers in overweight/obese women. High Alt Med Biol 2018;19:356-66.
- Morishima T, Kurihara T, Hamaoka T, Goto K. Whole body, regional fat accumulation, and appetite-related hormonal response after hypoxic training. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging 2014;34:90-7.
- Shin S, Matsuoka T, So WY. Influences of short-term normobaric hypoxic training on metabolic syndrome-related markers in overweight and normalweight men: Normobaric hypoxic training on metabolic syndrome. J Mens health 2018;13:e44-52.
- Jung K, Kim J, Park HY, Jung WS, Lim K. Hypoxic pilates intervention for obesity: A randomized controlled trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:7186.
- Murad MH, Wang Z, Chu H, Lin L. When continuous outcomes are measured using different scales: Guide for meta-analysis and interpretation. BMJ 2019;364:k4817.
- Gatineau M, Hancock C, Holman N, Outhwaite H, Oldridge L, Anna C, et al. Adult obesity and type 2 diabetes. UK: Public Health England; 2014.
- Irawati A, Mudjanarko SW, Tinduh D. Effects of treadmill exercises on pancreatic β-cell function through the role of Vitamin D in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Gac Med Caracas 2021;129:S357-66.
- Wu Y, Ding Y, Tanaka Y, Zhang W. Risk factors contributing to type 2 diabetes and recent advances in the treatment and prevention. Int J Med Sci 2014;11:1185-200.
- Joseph JW, Hoang M. Effects of diet-induced diabetes on beta-cell-specific aryl-hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator/hypoxia-inducible factor-1beta knockout mice. Diabetes 2016;65:A535.
- Hamilton MT, Hamilton DG, Zderic TW. Sedentary behavior as a mediator of type 2 diabetes. Med Sport Sci 2014;60:11-26.

- Merle L. Foss, Keteyian SJ. Fox's: Physiological basis for exercise and sport. 6th ed. New York: William C Brown Publishing; 1998, p. 620.
- Morishima T, Hasegawa Y, Sasaki H, Kurihara T, Hamaoka T, Goto K. Effects of different periods of hypoxic training on glucose metabolism and insulin sensitivity. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging 2015;35:104-9.
- Norouzirad R, González-Muniesa P, Ghasemi A. Hypoxia in obesity and diabetes: Potential therapeutic effects of hyperoxia and nitrate. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2017;2017:5350267.
- Ban JJ, Ruthenborg RJ, Cho KW, Kim JW. Regulation of obesity and insulin resistance by hypoxia-inducible factors. Hypoxia (Auckl) 2014;2:171-83.
- Engin AB, Engin A. Obesity and lipotoxicity. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017. p. 960. Available from: https://link. springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-48382-5. [Last accessed 2023 Jun 06].
- Fuster JJ, Ouchi N, Gokce N, Walsh K. Obesity-induced changes in adipose tissue microenvironment and their impact on cardiovascular disease. Circ Res 2016;118:1786-807.
- Wang R, Sun Q, Wu X, Zhang Y, Xing X, Lin K, et al. Hypoxia as a double-edged sword to combat obesity and comorbidities. Cells 2022;3735.
- Gerber PA, Rutter GA. The role of oxidative stress and hypoxia in pancreatic beta-cell dysfunction in diabetes mellitus. Antioxid Redox Signal 2017;26:501-18.
- Peek CB, Levine DC, Cedernaes J, Taguchi A, Kobayashi Y, Tsai SJ, et al. Circadian clock interaction with HIF1α mediates oxygenic metabolism and anaerobic glycolysis in skeletal muscle. Cell Metab 2017;25:86-92.
- Guo X, Li H, Xu H, Woo S, Dong H, Lu F, et al. Glycolysis in the control of blood glucose homeostasis. Acta Pharm Sin B 2012;2:358-67.

- 47. Langlais PR, Mandarino LJ, Garvey WT. Normal physiology of insulin action: Mechanisms of insulin signal transduction. In: Defronzo RA, Ferrannini E, Zimmet P, M. Alberti KG, (eds). International textbook of diabetes mellitus. 4th ed. United States: John Wiley and Sons, Ltd; 2015.
- Hall JE. Guyton and hall textbook of medical physiology. 13th ed., Vol. 18.United States: Elsevier; 2016.
- Rayasam GV, Tulasi VK, Sodhi R, Davis JA, Ray A. Glycogen synthase kinase 3: More than a namesake. Br J Pharmacol 2009;156:885-98.
- Hardie DG. Minireview: The AMP-activated protein kinase cascade: The key sensor of cellular energy status. Endocrinology 2003;144:5179-83.
- 51. Chen YC, Lee SD, Kuo CH, Ho LT. The effects of altitude training on the AMPK-related glucose transport pathway in the red skeletal muscle of both lean and obese Zucker rats. High Alt Med Biol 2011;12:371-8.
- Sakagami H, Makino Y, Mizumoto K, Isoe T, Takeda Y, Watanabe J, et al. Loss of HIF-1α impairs GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake by the skeletal muscle cells. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2014;306:E1065-76.
- 53. Siques P, Brito J, Flores K, Ordenes S, Arriaza K, Pena E, et al. Long-term chronic intermittent hypobaric hypoxia induces glucose transporter (GLUT4) translocation through AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in the soleus muscle in lean rats. Front Physiol 2018;9:799.
- 54. Horike N, Sakoda H, Kushiyama A, Ono H, Fujishiro M, Kamata H, et al. AMP-activated protein kinase activation increases phosphorylation of glycogen synthase kinase 3beta and thereby reduces cAMP-responsive element transcriptional activity and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase C gene expression in the liver. J Biol Chem 2008;283:33902-10.
- Cohen P, Goedert M. GSK3 inhibitors: Development and therapeutic potential. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2004;3:479-87.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1: Summary of meta-analysis results	on blood glu	ucose levels		
Outcome/subgroup	Number	Sample	Statistical method	Effect estimate (pooled
	of study	size		SMD with 95% CI and P)
Blood glucose levels (hypoxia - normoxia) [23,25-30]	7	236	Fixed effect (P)=0%	0.10 (-0.15-0.36; 0.43)
Blood glucose levels (pre- and post-test-hypoxia)	7	238	Fixed effect (12=43%	0.25 (-0.01-0.51; 0.06)
Blood glucose levels (pre- and post-test-normoxia)	7	234	Random effect (12)=70%	0.30 (-0.20-0.80; 0.25)
Subgroup				
Moderate intensity [23,28,29]	3	91	Fixed effect (12)=0%	-0.11 (0.53-0.30; 0.60)
High intensity [26,27]	2	90	Fixed effect $(l^2)=0\%$	0.25 (-0.15-0.68; 0.21)
Constant load [23,25,28-30]	5	136	Fixed effect (12)=0%	0.0 (-0.34-0.34; 0.99)
Progressive load [26,27]	2	90	Fixed effect (12)=0%	0.25 (-0.15-0.68; 0.21)
4 weeks intervention [26,28,29]	3	68	Random effect (12)=0%	-0.20(-0.68-0.28; 0.42)
>4 weeks intervention [23,25,27,30]	4	158	Fixed effect $(l^2)=0\%$	0.24 (-0.08-0.56; 0.14)
Blood glucose levels (overweight/obesity - hypoxia) [23,25,27-30]	6	208	Fixed effect (I ²)=48%	0.29 (0.01-0.57; 0.04)*

*Significant at P<0.05.[18] SMD: Standardized mean difference, CI: Confidence interval

Supplementary Table 2: Summary of meta-an	alysis results o	n insulin levels	\$	
Outcome/subgroup	Number	Sample	Statistical method	Effect estimate (pooled
	study	size		SMD with 95% CI and P)
Insulin levels (hypoxia - normoxic) [22,25,28-30]	5	127	Random effect (1 ²)=91%	0.37 (-1.02-1.76; P=0.60)
Insulin levels (pre- and post-test-hypoxia)	5	130	Random effect (12)=87%	0.98 (-0.11-2.06; <i>P</i> =0.08)
Insulin levels (pre- and post-test-normoxic)	5	124	Random effect (12)=91%	1.18 (-0.23-2.60; <i>P</i> =0.10)

SMD: Standardized mean difference, CI: Confdence interval

Supplementary Table 3: Summary of meta-analysis	results on insu	ılin sensitivit	У	
Outcome/subgroup	Number	Sample	Statistical method	Effect estimate (pooled
	of study	size		SMD with 95% CI and P)
Insulin sensitivity (hypoxia - normoxia) [22,24,25,29,30]	5	132	Random effect (I ²)=77%	-0.24 (-01.01-0.52; 0.53)
Insulin sensitivity (pre- and post-test-hypoxia)	5	136	Random effect (I ²)=88%	0.85 (-0.26-1.96; 0.13)
Insulin sensitivity (pre-and post-test-normoxia)	5	128	Random effect (I ²)=87%	0.53 (-0.53-1.60; 0.33)

SMD: Standardized mean difference, CI: Confdence interval