Tzu Chi Medical Journal 25 (2013) 32-38

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Tzu Chi Medical Journal



journal homepage: www.tzuchimedjnl.com

Original Article

# Can mortality from agricultural pesticide poisoning be predicted in the emergency department? Findings from a hospital-based study in eastern Taiwan

# Chi-Feng Hsu<sup>a</sup>, Ming-Jen Tsai<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Kun-Chuan Chen<sup>a</sup>, Ren-Chieh Wu<sup>a</sup>, Sheng-Chuan Hu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital, Hualien, Taiwan <sup>b</sup> Ph.D. Program in Pharmacology and Toxicology, School of Medicine, Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 December 2012 Received in revised form 12 December 2012 Accepted 12 December 2012

Keywords: Agricultural chemicals Herbicides Mortality Pesticides Poisoning

# ABSTRACT

*Objectives:* Pesticides are the leading toxicants resulting in emergency department (ED) admission. Factors which help emergency physicians predict mortality from pesticide poisoning have rarely been elucidated. There is also a lack in epidemiologic data on pesticide exposure in eastern Taiwan. This study describes the characteristics of patients with pesticide poisoning in eastern Taiwan and identifies available ED predictors for mortality from pesticide poisoning.

*Materials and Methods:* Patients with pesticide exposure who presented to the ED in the only medical center in eastern Taiwan between July 2001 and July 2008 were reviewed retrospectively. Clinical information was collected for comparison between survivors and nonsurvivors. Logistic regression was conducted to identify the predictors for poisoning-related mortality.

*Results*: During the study period, a total of 573 patients with pesticide exposure presented to our ED. There were 145 poisoning-related fatalities (25.31%) with a mean survival of 3.63 days. Paraquat (37.5%) was the leading pesticide involved, followed by glyphosate (23.0%) and organophosphates (13.4%). The significant predictors for mortality from pesticide poisoning were paraquat intoxication [odds ratio (OR) = 83.39; p < 0.001], organophosphate intoxication (OR = 10.88; p = 0.009), suicide attempt (OR = 15.98; p < 0.001), and abnormal body temperature (OR = 2.76; p = 0.043). In paraquat poisoning cases, age over 60 years (OR = 4.64; p = 0.003), suicide attempt (OR = 9.27; p < 0.001) and abnormal body temperature (OR = 2.63; p = 0.038), especially hypothermia, were risk factors for death. In non-paraquat poisoning cases, suicide attempt (OR > 999; p < 0.001) and initial respiratory distress (OR = 23.25; p = 0.001) were predictors for mortality.

*Conclusion:* Paraquat and organophosphates are the pesticides most associated with mortality. In paraquat exposure, elderly patients, those with suicidal intent and those presenting with an abnormal body temperature are at high risk for death. With nonparaquat pesticides, patients with suicidal intent and respiratory distress are at high risk for mortality.

Copyright © 2012, Buddhist Compassion Relief Tzu Chi Foundation. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Since the development of organophosphates in the 1930s and paraquat in 1961, agricultural chemicals have played an important role in the development of modern agriculture and have helped increase agricultural production. These chemicals are widely used, especially in developing countries.

Intoxication from agricultural chemicals, especially paraquat, has resulted in high mortality rates [1–5]. Because of the availability of these chemicals, intoxication, either incidental or intended, is frequently seen in the emergency setting. Several methods have been proposed to evaluate the severity and prognosis of specific types of agricultural pesticide intoxication, but some problems remain. [2,5–12]. First, these evaluation methods may require laboratory support, such as drug level monitoring and additional laboratory data, which is a burden in developing countries, and emergency departments (ED) in remote areas. An

Conflict of interest: none.

E-mail address: tshi33@gmail.com (M.-J. Tsai).

1016-3190/\$ – see front matter Copyright © 2012, Buddhist Compassion Relief Tzu Chi Foundation. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcmj.2012.12.002

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Emergency Medicine, Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital, 707, Section 3, Chung-Yang Road, Hualien, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 3 8561825x6164; fax: +886 3 8461870.

evaluation system using readily accessible clinical information and physical findings, such as body temperature, respiratory condition, and blood pressure, has not been proposed. Second, although prognostic factors have been proposed for individual pesticides and herbicides such as organophosphates and paraquat, many ED patients do not report the specific pesticide involved. Thus, prognostic factors for overall agricultural pesticide intoxication should be established. Third, local data on this problem are not available, especially in eastern Taiwan.

Here, we retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of patients with agricultural pesticide poisoning admitted to our ED, including initial vital signs, general patient and clinical data, and specific toxicant analysis. We identified the risk factors for high mortality through readily available information in the ED. The results can help emergency physicians perform early risk stratification and therapeutic interventions.

### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Study design and patient population

The Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital is a tertiary care center with 1000 beds in eastern Taiwan. The ED receives more than 55,000 patient visits per year. The hospital is also the toxicant, drug information and antidote control center in eastern Taiwan. A retrospective study was conducted to analyze patients admitted to the ED with acute poisoning from agricultural pesticides between July 1, 2001 and July 31, 2008.

# 2.2. Data collection, processing and categorization

A poisoning report form, which was developed in the hospital to collect clinical information on patients with poisoning, was used. The information collected included patient data; exposure agent, time, type, route, amount, and reason; history of suicide attempts; initial vital signs and consciousness level in the ED; presenting symptoms and signs; management and antidote use in the ED; and final outcomes. A well-trained medical assistant was responsible for collecting and recording the data in a computerized database system within 3 days after patient admission. The final outcomes were documented from the discharge chart.

Data were analyzed from patients with poisoning from the computerized database. Only patients with agricultural pesticide (insecticides or herbicides) intoxication were included for the study. The Protection of Human Subjects Institutional Review Board of Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital approved this study. All enrolled patients were categorized into the survival group or poisoning-related fatality group for further comparison. The potential predictors of poisoning-related fatalities evaluated in this study included age, gender, initial vital signs on arrival in the ED (body temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and consciousness level), classification of the pesticide(s) (paraquat, organophosphates, glyphosate, carbamates, and pyrethroids, and others or unknown pesticides), suicide attempt, exposure route (oral or not), concomitant use of alcohol, and number of agents (multiple or single). Two physicians reviewed the charts from the enrolled patients and rechecked the accuracy of the data collection. The classification of pesticides was based on the chart describing the label on the pesticide container carried with patient or patient's confirmation. If the pesticide was not one of the five types of pesticides listed above or was not known, it was classified as others or unknown. Not all the patients' initial vital sign were obtained from the chart review. If a datum was not obtained, it was recorded as a missing value in the database.

#### 2.3. Statistical analysis

To identify significant clinical data and types of pesticide associated with poisoning-related fatalities, Student's *t* test for continuous variables and the Chi-square test (corrected with Fisher's exact test as appropriate) for categorical variables were used to perform univariate analysis. A *p* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant, and all statistical tests were two-tailed. For multivariate analysis, the categorical variables with a *p* < 0.05 were selected in the initial univariate analysis into a logistic regression forward stepwise Wald test to calculate the odds ratios. SPSS statistical software was used to perform the statistical analyses (SPSS 12.0; SPSS Institute Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

# 3. Results

### 3.1. Characteristics and clinical status of the poisoning cases

From July 1, 2001 to July 31 2008, a total of 573 patients with agricultural pesticide poisoning were admitted to the ED. Among them, 352 were male (61.4%). The mean age was 46.9  $\pm$  17.4 years. Overall, 64.4% of the poisoning exposures (369 patients) involved suicidal intent. The poison was ingested orally by 503 patients (87.8%), and 179 patients (31.2%) used alcohol concomitantly. Twenty patients (3.5%) were exposed to more than one agent (Table 1). Paraquat (215 patients, 37.5%) was the most common agent, followed by glyphosate (133 patients, 23.2%; Fig. 1). Among these patients, 16.3% had an abnormal body temperature including hyperthermia (body temperature  $> 37.5^{\circ}$ C) or hypothermia (body temperature  $< 35^{\circ}$ C), 33.1% had an abnormal heart rate including tachycardia (heart rate > 100 beats/minute) or bradycardia (heart rate < 60 beats/minute), 9.6% exhibited respiratory distress (defined as a respiratory rate > 24 or < 10 breaths/minute or necessity for intubation), 3.1% were in shock (defined as a systolic pressure < 90 mmHg) and 27.2% had impaired consciousness [Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score < 15; Table 1].

# 3.2. Characteristics and predictors of fatalities

There were 145 poisoning-related fatalities (25.31%), including 11 who died on arrival and 134 who died during hospitalization. The mean survival was  $3.63 \pm 4.52$  days. Among these 145 fatalities, 86.2% were suicide cases and all fatalities (100%) were intoxicated orally. Paraquat was the major agent involved in fatal exposures and accounted for 83.4% of the fatalities, followed by organophosphates (8.3% of the fatalities; Table 1).

Comparison of the fatality and survival groups (Table 1) showed significant differences, in 11 variables, including body temperature, respiratory rate, consciousness level, suicide attempt, oral administration, and type of pesticide. Abnormal body temperature (27.4% vs. 12.9%, p < 0.001), abnormal respiratory rate (18.6% vs. 6.9%, p < 0.001), consciousness impairment (40.0% vs. 22.7%, p = 0.003), suicide attempt (86.2% vs. 57.0%, p < 0.001), oral administration (100.0% vs. 83.6%, *p* < 0.001) and paraquat intoxication (83.4% vs. 22.0%, p < 0.001) accounted for higher proportions in the fatality group compared with the survival group. Moreover, patients in the fatality group had a lower initial mean body temperature  $(35.9 \pm 1.3^{\circ}\text{C vs.} 36.3 \pm 1.1^{\circ}\text{C}, p = 0.002)$  and higher mean respiratory rate (22.5  $\pm$  7.2 vs. 20.5  $\pm$  3.2, *p* < 0.001) than those in the survival group. However, patients who were exposed to organophosphates (8.3% vs. 15.2%, *p* = 0.035), glyphosate (4.8% vs. 29.4%, p < 0.001), carbamates (2.1% vs. 6.3%, p = 0.048), pyrethroids (0.0%) vs. 8.4%, p < 0.001) and others or unknown pesticides (5.5% vs. 21.0%, p < 0.001) accounted for lower proportions in the fatality group.

Clinical characteristics and exposure agents of the fatalities (n = 145) and survivors (n = 428) groups of patients with pesticide poisoning.

Age (y)   481 + 17.3   46.5 + 17.4   46.9 + 17.4   NS     Body temperature (TC)   53.5 + 1.3 (n = 117)   93.5 + 1.1 (n = 130)   30.2 + 1.1 (n = 430)   0002     Regriatury rate (min)   91.5 + 24.6 (n = 132)   92.1 + 1.9 (n = 340)   20.9 + 2.4 (n = 530)   00.9 + 2.1 (n = 530)   NS   -0.001     Systatic blood pressure (SRP, mmHg)   134.8 + 2.95 (n = 128)   137.8 ± 2.80 (n = 387)   78.8 ± 17.5 (n = 515)   NS     Disable blood pressure (SRP, mmHg)   134.8 + 2.95 (n = 128)   137.4 ± 2.80 (n = 387)   78.8 ± 17.5 (n = 515)   NS     Age groups   38 (26.2)   106 (24.8)   144 (25.1)   NS     Cender   107 (73.8)   222 (75.2)   249 (74.9)   NS     Male   88 (60.7)   24 (61.7)   32 (21.4)   NS     Regriatury rate   5.1 (73.4)   49 (12.9)   81 (16.3)   c0.001     Hody temperature   5.1 (73.4)   46 (56.1)   26 (82.0)   174 (33.1)   NS     Respiratury rate (0.3) (min)   48 (55.4)   126 (32.0)   174 (33.1)   NS     Respiratury rate (0.3) (min)   96 (81.4		Fatalities ( $n = 145$ ), $n$ (%)	Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 428), <i>n</i> (%)	Total ( <i>n</i> = 573), <i>n</i> (%)	р	
Body remperature (*C)   35.9 + 1.3 (n - 117)   36.3 + 1.1 (n - 381)   36.2 + 1.1 (n - 480)   0.002     Respiratory rate (min)   2.5 + 7.2 (n - 120)   2.0 + 1.9 (n - 300)   30.9 + 4.5 (n - 500)   7.0     Systelic biod pressure (sim; minHg)   1.4 4 & 2.15 (n - 120)   2.0 + 3.2 (n - 380)   30.9 + 4.5 (n - 515)   NS     District (biod pressure (sim; minHg)   1.4 4 & 2.15 (n - 120)   1.7 1.2 & 2.20 (n - 387)   1.7 4.2 & 4.0 n - 515)   NS     Zeg troup   -   1.6 (24.8)   1.44 (2.51)   NS     Zeg troup   -   1.0 (7.0.8)   2.2 (7.5.2)   4.20 (7.4.5)   NS     Male   -   6100 (7.0.8)   2.2 (7.5.2)   4.20 (7.4.5)   NS     Male   -   6100 (7.0.8)   2.2 (7.5.2)   4.20 (7.4.5)   NS     Male   -   7.0 (3.0.1.2.3)   2.2 (7.5.2)   4.0 (7.4.3.1)   -     Hopertentre   -   7.2 (3.0.1.2.3)   1.7 (4.3.1.3.1.3)   -   -     Hopertentre   -   2.0 (7.2.3.2.3.2.3)   2.0 (7.4.3.3.1.3.3.1.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3.3	Age (y)	48.1 ± 17.3	46.5 ± 17.4	46.9 ± 17.4	NS	
Heart at (min)91.5 ± 24.6 (m - 132)92.1 ± 18.6 (m - 394)91.9 ± 21.0 (m - 205)NS expairatory rate (min)22.5 ± 2.2 (m - 130)20.5 ± 2.2 (m - 230)10.9 ± 2.1 0, (m - 205)NS expairatory rate (min)22.5 ± 2.2 (m - 130)20.5 ± 2.2 (m - 387)73.8 ± 1.75 (m - 315)NS expairatory rate (min)NS expairatory rate (min)NS rate (min)	Body temperature (°C)	$35.9 \pm 1.3 (n = 117)$	$36.3 \pm 1.1 (n = 381)$	$36.2 \pm 1.1 \ (n = 498)$	0.002	
Respiratory rate (min)   25.5. + 2.2 (n - 18)   20.5. + 3.2 (n - 200)   20.9. + 4.5 (n - 508)   0.001     Systolic bodd pressure (0mmHg)   1348. = 2.8.0 (n - 2.80)   137.8. = 2.8.0 (n - 3.87)   17.1. = 2.8.4 (n - 515)   NS     Systolic bodd pressure (0mmHg)   7.1. + 19.0 (n - 128)   134.8. = 2.8.0 (n - 3.87)   7.8.8. + 17.5 (n - 515)   NS     Systolic bodd pressure (0mmHg)   38 (2.6.2)   106 (24.8)   144 (25.1)   -2.6.0   2.6.0   2.6.0   NS     Systolic bodd pressure (0mmHg)   107 (73.8)   32.2 (73.0)   42.6 (1.7)   52.6 (1.4.0)   NS     Systolic bodd pressure (0mportating (2.5.0)   106 (73.6)   32.2 (73.1)   41.6 (3.8.1)   -0.001     Hyperthemial (2.5.7.0) of hypothermia (2.5.7)   32.6 (72.4)   49.6 (2.2.0)   11.6 (3.1)   -0.001     Respiratory rate (2.1.0) min) or bradycardia (<00min)	Heart rate (/min)	$91.5 \pm 24.6 \ (n = 132)$	$92.1 \pm 19.6 (n = 394)$	$91.9 \pm 21.0$ $(n = 526)$	NS	
Systelic blood pressure (30P; mult[g)134 & = 2.9 (n = 128)137.8 = 2.8 (n = -387)137.1 = 2.84 (n = 515)NSAge groups77.1 + 19.0 (n - 128)73.4 + 17.0 (n - 387)73.4 + 17.0 (n - 387)NS260 yrs106 (24.8)144 (25.1)NS<00 yrs	Respiratory rate (/min)	$22.5 \pm 7.2 (n = 118)$	$20.5 \pm 3.2 (n = 390)$	$20.9 \pm 4.5 \ (n = 508)$	< 0.001	
District blood pressure (mmHg)   m   71.1 ± 19.0 (n = 128)   74.4 ± 7.0 (n = 387)   78.8 ± 17.5 (n = 515)   NS     ≥60 yrs   38 (26.2)   106 (24.8)   144 (25.1)   NS     >C60 yrs   322 (75.2)   42 (74.9)   NS     Center   NS   NS     Genter   NS   NS     Ender   57 (93.3)   164 (18.3)   221 (74.8)     Ender   57 (93.3)   164 (18.3)   21 (18.6)     Ender termer   49 (12.9)   81 (16.3)   NS     Body temperature   85 (26.6)   32 (27.1)   471 (28.7)   NS     Ferritoric   85 (26.6)   32 (27.1)   471 (28.7)   NS     Respiratory rate   85 (26.6)   32 (27.1)   47 (58.7)   NS     Respiratory rate 10-00min   48 (63.6)   28 (58.0)   352 (66.9)   NS     Respiratory rate 10-24 (min   22 (18.5)   27 (59.0)   49 (96.6)   NO     Respiratory rate 10-24 (min   22 (18.5)   37 (197.4)   49 (96.0)   NO     Conscissers level   12 (10.	Systolic blood pressure (SBP; mmHg)	$134.8 \pm 29.5 \ (n = 128)$	$137.8 \pm 28.0 \ (n = 387)$	$137.1 \pm 28.4 \ (n = 515)$	NS	
Age groups   Interval   Interval< <th>Interval   Interval   Interval   Interval   Interval   Interv</th>	Interval   Interval   Interval   Interval   Interval   Interv	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	$77.1 \pm 19.0 \ (n = 128)$	$79.4 \pm 17.0 \ (n = 387)$	$78.8 \pm 17.5 (n = 515)$	NS
Set or prise38 (26.)106 (24.8)144 (25.)< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <        	Age groups	. ,		. ,	NS	
color107 (73,8)222 (75,2)429 (74,9)NSMate88 (60.7)264 (61.7)352 (61.4)NSFemale57 (35.3)164 (33.3)221 (38.6)NSBody temperature87 (27.4)49 (12.9)81 (16.3)NSBody temperature (37.5.5°) or hypothemia (35.5°)88 (72.6)322 (87.1)417 (83.7)NSBody temperature (37.5°) or hypothemia (35.5°)88 (72.6)228 (86.0)322 (66.9)NSHeart rateNS28 (86.0)322 (66.9)NSRespiratory rate (-0.00min)96 (81.4)268 (86.0)322 (66.9)NSRespiratory rate 10-24 (min)96 (81.4)268 (85.0)132 (26.9)NSRespiratory rate 10-24 (min)96 (81.4)351 (27.1)499 (96.9)NSSige 2.90 mmHg)6 (4.7)10 (2.6)16 (3.1)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (26.3)377 (97.4)499 (96.9)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (26.3)371 (97.4)499 (96.9)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (26.3)184 (43.0)228 (72.8)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (26.3)180 (77.3)228 (72.8)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (96.7)180 (73.1)280 (72.8)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (96.7)180 (73.1)280 (72.8)NSSige 2.90 mmHg12 (16.1)17 (17.1)19 (12.1)NSNo10 (17.2)290 (67.8)503 (67.8)NSNSNo10 (17.7)290 (67.8)203 (65.6)NS	$\geq 60 \text{ yrs}$	38 (26.2)	106 (24.8)	144 (25.1)		
Cender   Interface   Nate	<60 yrs	107 (73.8)	322 (75.2)	429 (74.9)		
Male88 (60/2)264 (61.7)352 (61.4)Fenale57 (39.3)164 (33.2)221 (38.6)	Gender				NS	
Fenale57 (39.3)164 (38.3)21 (38.6)	Male	88 (60.7)	264 (61.7)	352 (61.4)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Female	57 (39.3)	164 (38.3)	221 (38.6)		
Hyperthermia (≥37.5°) or hypothermia (≤35°.2)22 (27.4)49 (12.9)81 (16.3)Body temperature 35.1–37.4°C87 (26.6)323 (87.1)NHear tateK63.6)26 (80.0)322 (65.9)NHear tate 60-100/min84 (63.6)26 (80.0)325 (65.9)NRespiratory distress or necessity of intubation22 (18.6)77 (6.9)49 (9.6)NRespiratory distress or necessity of intubation22 (18.6)63 (93.1)459 (90.4)NSBP122 (95.3)77 (97.4)459 (90.4)NSBP ≥ 00 mmHg)122 (95.3)377 (97.4)490 (69.9)NSagow coma scale = 1532 (40.0)53 (22.7)85 (72.2)NoSuide artemy120 (96.3)140 (43.0)248 (72.8)NSuide artemy125 (66.2)244 (57.0)36 (87.8)NYes145 (100.0)75 (83.6)503 (87.8)NNo0.00.170 (16.4)70 (12.2)NYes149 (17.1)20 (67.8)140 (12.2)NNo130 (95.2)144 (83.0)33 (85.2)NNo130 (95.1)138 (32.2)179 (13.4)NNo130 (95.1)144 (80.7)35 (85.1)NNo120 (83.4)130 (12.1)130 (12.1)NNo120 (83.4)134 (78.0)36 (65.1)NNo121 (83.4)94 (82.0)215 (37.5)NNo120 (83.4)130 (12.1)130 (12.1)NNo120 (83.1) <td>Body temperature</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td>	Body temperature				< 0.001	
Body temperature 35.1–37.4 <sup>°</sup> L <sup>°</sup> BS (72.6)   323 (87.1)   417 (83.7)     Tachycardia (>100/min) or bradycardia (<60/min)	Hyperthermia (≥37.5°C) or hypothermia (≤35°C)	32 (27.4)	49 (12.9)	81 (16.3)		
Hear rate   Instruction   Instruction   Instruction   No     Tachycordia (>60/min)   84 (63.6)   268 (68.0)   352 (66.9)	Body temperature 35.1–37.4°C	85 (72.6)	332 (87.1)	417 (83.7)		
Tackycardia (>100/min) or bradycardia (~60/min)   48 (36.4)   126 (32.0)   174 (33.1)     Heart rate 60 -100/min   84 (63.6)   352 (66.9)   352 (66.9)     Respiratory rate   70 (5.9)   49 (9.6)   <0.001	Heart rate				NS	
Heat rate 60–100/min   84 (63.6)   268 (68.0)   352 (66.9)   <0.001     Respiratory rate   0   363 (93.1)   459 (90.4)      Respiratory rate 10–24/min   96 (81.4)   363 (93.1)   459 (90.4)      SB    Magnetic 10, 22 (93.3)   377 (97.4)   459 (90.4)      SB > 20 mmHg)   12 (93.3)   377 (97.4)   459 (90.3)       Consciousness level           Casgow coma scale < 15	Tachycardia (>100/min) or bradycardia (<60/min)	48 (36.4)	126 (32.0)	174 (33.1)		
Respiratory rate   Control   Contro   Control	Heart rate 60–100/min	84 (63.6)	268 (68.0)	352 (66.9)		
Respiratory distress or necessity of intubation   22 (18.6)   27 (6.9)   49 (9.6)     Respiratory rat 10–24/min   96 (81.4)   363 (93.1)   459 (90.4)   580     SBP   90 mmHg)   64 (4.7)   10 (2.6)   16 (3.1)   780     SBP ≥ 90 mmHg)   122 (95.3)   377 (97.4)   489 (96.9)   780     Consciousness level   77 (97.4)   489 (96.9)   780     Consciousness level   322 (40.0)   31 (22.7)   85 (27.2)   780     Glasgow coma scale < 15   48 (40.0)   130 (27.3)   280 (72.8)   780     Suicide attempt   786   125 (86.2)   24 (57.0)   369 (64.4)   780     Ves   125 (86.2)   24 (57.0)   369 (64.4)   780   780     Oral administration   125 (86.2)   24 (57.0)   369 (64.4)   780     Ves   125 (86.2)   24 (57.0)   369 (63.4)   790 (13.2)   700     Oral administration   125 (88.2)   24 (13.0)   203 (37.5)   700     Ves   19 (37.9)   19 (37.9)   36 (37.5	Respiratory rate				< 0.001	
Respiratory rate 10–24/min   96 (81.4)   363 (93.1)   459 (90.4)     SBP    10 (2.6)   16 (3.1)   NS     SSP ≥ 90 mmHg)   12 (95.3)   27 (97.4)   499 (96.9)   0003     Glasgow coma scale < 15	Respiratory distress or necessity of intubation	22 (18.6)	27 (6.9)	49 (9.6)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } Spp & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 &$	Respiratory rate 10–24/min	96 (81.4)	363 (93.1)	459 (90.4)		
Hypotension (SBP > 00 mmHg)   64,7)   10,2 (b)   16 (31)     SBP > 00 mmHg)   122 (95.3)   377 (97.4)   499 (96.9)	SBP				NS	
Sib   20 mmHg   20   122 (95.3)   377 (97.4)   499 (96.9)     Consciousness level   0.003   Glasgow coma scale < 15	Hypotension (SBP $< 90 \text{ mmHg}$ )	6 (4.7)	10 (2.6)	16 (3.1)		
Conscinisances level   0.003   Glasgow coma scale < 15	SBP > 90  mmHg	122 (95.3)	377 (97.4)	499 (96.9)		
Glasgow coma scale < 15	Consciousness level				0.003	
Glagow coma scale = 15   48 (60.0)   180 (77.3)   228 (72.8)     Suicide attempt	Glasgow coma scale $< 15$	32 (40.0)	53 (22.7)	85 (27.2)		
Suicide attempt	Glasgow coma scale $= 15$	48 (60.0)	180 (77.3)	228 (72.8)		
Yes125 (86.2)244 (57.0)369 (64.4)	Suicide attempt				< 0.001	
No $20 (13.8)$ $184 (43.0)$ $204 (35.6)$ $-$ Oral administration<	Yes	125 (86.2)	244 (57.0)	369 (64.4)		
Oral administration   -	No	20 (13.8)	184 (43.0)	204 (35.6)		
Yes145 (100.0)358 (83.6)503 (87.8)No0.0070 (16.4)70 (12.2)No14 (28.3)138 (32.2)179 (31.2)No104 (71.7)290 (57.8)394 (68.8)Number of exposure agentsNMultiple agents6 (4.1)14 (3.3)20 (3.5)Single agent139 (95.9)414 (96.7)553 (96.5)Exposed pesticidesParaquatYes121 (83.4)94 (22.0)215 (37.5)No121 (83.4)94 (22.0)215 (37.5)No124 (16.6)334 (78.0)358 (62.5)OrganophosphateYes12 (83.3)65 (15.2)77 (13.4)No133 (91.7)363 (84.8)496 (86.6)Glyphosate </td <td>Oral administration</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt; 0.001</td>	Oral administration				< 0.001	
No $0(0,0)$ $70(164)$ $70(12.2)$ Concomitant use of alcohol	Yes	145 (100.0)	358 (83.6)	503 (87.8)		
Concomitant use of alcohol   N   NS     Yes   41 (28.3)   138 (32.2)   179 (31.2)   179 (31.2)     Number of exposure agents   104 (71.7)   290 (67.8)   394 (68.8)   NS     Multiple agents   6 (4.1)   14 (3.3)   20 (3.5)   Single agent   139 (95.9)   414 (96.7)   553 (96.5)     Exposed pesticides	No	0 (0.0)	70 (16.4)	70 (12.2)		
Yes41 (28.3)138 (32.2)179 (31.2)No104 (71.7)290 (67.8)394 (68.8)Number of exposure agents6 (4.1)14 (3.3)20 (3.5)Multiple agents6 (4.1)14 (3.3)20 (3.5)Single agent139 (95.9)414 (96.7)553 (96.5)Exposed pesticides $  -$ Paraquat $  -$ Yes121 (83.4)94 (22.0)215 (37.5)No24 (16.6)334 (78.0)358 (62.5)Organophosphate $  -$ Yes12 (8.3)65 (15.2)77 (13.4)No133 (91.7)363 (84.8)496 (86.6)Glyphosate $  -$ Yes7 (4.8)126 (29.4)133 (23.2)No138 (95.2)302 (70.6)440 (76.8) $   -$ Yes0.00302 (70.6)440 (76.8) $   -$ Yes0.00142 (97.9)401 (93.7)543 (94.8) $   -$ <td>Concomitant use of alcohol</td> <td></td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td></td> <td>NS</td>	Concomitant use of alcohol		· · · ·		NS	
No104 (71.7)290 (67.8)394 (68.8)Number of exposure agentsNSNumber of exposure agentsNSMultiple agents6 (4.1)14 (3.3)20 (3.5)Single agent139 (95.9)414 (96.7)553 (96.5)Exposed pesticides $=$ $<$ Paraquat $=$ $<$ $<$ Yes121 (83.4)94 (22.0)215 (37.5)No24 (16.6)334 (78.0)358 (62.5) $<$ Organophosphate $=$ $<$ $<$ Yes12 (8.3)65 (15.2)77 (13.4) $<$ No133 (91.7)363 (84.8)496 (86.6) $<$ Glyphosate $=$ $<$ $<$ $<$ Yes128 (95.2)302 (70.6)440 (76.8) $<$ No138 (95.2)302 (70.6)440 (76.8) $<$ Pyrethroid $=$ $<$ $<$ $<$ Yes0 (0.0)36 (8.4)36 (6.3) $<$ No145 (100.0)329 (91.6)537 (93.7) $<$ Yes0 (0.0)36 (8.4)36 (6.3) $<$ Yes0 (0.0)36 (8.4)36 (6.3) $<$ No145 (100.0)329 (91.6)537 (93.7) $<$ Yes8 (5.5)90 (21.0)98 (17.1)No137 (94.5)338 (79.0)475 (82.9)	Yes	41 (28.3)	138 (32.2)	179 (31.2)		
Number of exposure agentsNSMultiple agents $6.4.1$ $14.(3.3)$ $20.(3.5)$ Single agent $139.(95.9)$ $414.(96.7)$ $553.(96.5)$ Exposed pesticides $ -$ Paraquat $ <$ $<$ Yes $121.(83.4)$ $94.(22.0)$ $215.(37.5)$ $<$ No $24.(16.6)$ $334.(78.0)$ $358.(62.5)$ $<$ Organophosphate $ 0.035$ $<$ Yes $12.(8.3)$ $65.(15.2)$ $77.(13.4)$ $<$ No $133.(91.7)$ $363.(84.8)$ $496.(86.6)$ $<$ Glyphosate $ <$ $<$ $<$ Yes $12.(8.3)$ $126.(29.4)$ $133.(23.2)$ $<$ No $138.(95.2)$ $302.(70.6)$ $404.(76.8)$ $<$ Quart $142.(97.9)$ $401.(93.7)$ $543.(94.8)$ $<$ Pyrethroid $ <$ $<$ $<$ Pyrethroid $ <$ $<$ $<$ Yes $0.(0.0)$ $36.(8.4)$ $36.(6.3)$ $<$ $<$ No $145.(100.0)$ $392.(91.6)$ $537.(93.7)$ $<$ $<$ Yes $8.(5.5)$ $90.(21.0)$ $98.(17.1)$ $<$ $<$ No $137.(94.5)$ $338.(79.0)$ $475.(82.9)$ $<$	No	104 (71.7)	290 (67.8)	394 (68.8)		
Multiple agents $6 (4.1)$ $14 (3.3)$ $20 (3.5)$ Single agent139 (95.9) $414 (96.7)$ $553 (96.5)$ Exposed pesticides $\sqrt{14} (96.7)$ $553 (96.5)$ Paraquat $\sqrt{0.001}$ $\sqrt{0.001}$ Yes121 (83.4)94 (22.0) $215 (37.5)$ No24 (16.6) $334 (78.0)$ $358 (62.5)$ Organophosphate $\sqrt{77} (13.4)$ Yes12 (8.3) $65 (15.2)$ $77 (13.4)$ No133 (91.7) $363 (84.8)$ $496 (86.6)$ Glyphosate $\sqrt{0.001}$ Yes7 (4.8)126 (29.4)133 (23.2)No138 (95.2) $302 (70.6)$ $440 (76.8)$ Carbamate0.048Yes3 (2.1) $27 (6.3)$ $30 (5.2)$ No122 (97.9) $415 (100.0)$ $329 (91.6)$ $537 (93.7)$ Yes0 (0.0) $36 (8.4)$ $36 (6.3)$ Pyrethroid $\sqrt{0.001}$ Yes145 (100.0) $329 (91.6)$ $537 (93.7)$ No137 (94.5) $338 (79.0)$ $475 (82.9)$	Number of exposure agents				NS	
Single agent139 (95.9)414 (96.7)553 (96.5)Exposed pesticides $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ Paraquat $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ Yes121 (83.4)94 (22.0)215 (37.5) $x$ $x$ Organophosphate $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ Yes12 (8.3)65 (15.2) $77$ (13.4) $x$ $x$ No133 (91.7)363 (84.8)496 (86.6) $x$ $x$ Glyphosate $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ Yes7 (4.8)126 (29.4)133 (23.2) $x$ $x$ No138 (95.2)302 (70.6)400 (76.8) $x$ $x$ Yes3 (2.1)27 (6.3)30 (5.2) $x$ $x$ No142 (97.9)410 (93.7)361 (94.8) $x$ $x$ Pyrethroid $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ $x$ Yes0.00.136 (8.4)36 (6.3) $x$ $x$ No145 (100.0)392 (91.6) $37$ (93.7) $x$ $x$ Ver8 (55.5)90 (21.0)98 (17.1) $x$ $x$ No137 (94.5)338 (79.0)475 (82.9) $x$ $x$	Multiple agents	6 (4.1)	14 (3.3)	20 (3.5)		
Exposed pesticides   <	Single agent	139 (95.9)	414 (96.7)	553 (96.5)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Exposed pesticides					
Yes121 (83.4)94 (22.0)215 (37.5)No24 (16.6)334 (78.0)358 (62.5)Organophosphate0.035Yes12 (8.3)65 (15.2)77 (13.4)No133 (91.7)363 (84.8)496 (86.6)GlyphosateYes7 (4.8)126 (29.4)133 (23.2)No138 (95.2)302 (70.6)440 (76.8)Carbamate0.048Yes3 (2.1)27 (6.3)30 (5.2)No142 (97.9)401 (93.7)543 (94.8)PyrethroidYes0 (0.0)36 (8.4)36 (6.3)No145 (100.0)392 (91.6)537 (93.7)Ves8 (5.5)90 (21.0)98 (17.1)No137 (94.5)338 (79.0)475 (82.9)	Paraquat				< 0.001	
No   24 (16.6)   334 (78.0)   358 (62.5)     Organophosphate   0.035     Yes   12 (8.3)   65 (15.2)   77 (13.4)     No   133 (91.7)   36 (84.8)   496 (86.6)     Glyphosate   7 (4.8)   126 (29.4)   133 (23.2)     No   138 (95.2)   302 (70.6)   440 (76.8)     Carbamate   0.048   126 (29.4)   303 (5.2)     Ves   3 (2.1)   27 (6.3)   30 (5.2)     No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid   (0.00)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   145 (100.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   140 (0.0)   36 (8.4)   357 (93.7)     Ves   0.00.1   36 (8.4)   357 (93.7)     No   145 (100.0)   36 (8.4)   357 (93.7)     Ves	Yes	121 (83.4)	94 (22.0)	215 (37.5)		
Organophosphate   0.035     Yes   12 (8.3)   65 (15.2)   77 (13.4)     No   133 (91.7)   363 (84.8)   496 (86.6)     Glyphosate        Yes   7 (4.8)   126 (29.4)   133 (23.2)     No   138 (95.2)   302 (70.6)   440 (76.8)     Carbamate    0.048     Yes   3 (2.1)   27 (6.3)   30 (5.2)     No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid        Yes   0 (0.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid        Yes   0 (0.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   140 (00.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (8.3) <t< td=""><td>No</td><td>24 (16.6)</td><td>334 (78.0)</td><td>358 (62.5)</td><td></td></t<>	No	24 (16.6)	334 (78.0)	358 (62.5)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Organophosphate				0.035	
No   133 (91.7)   363 (84.8)   496 (86.6)     Glyphosate	Yes	12 (8.3)	65 (15.2)	77 (13.4)		
Glyphosate	No	133 (91.7)	363 (84.8)	496 (86.6)		
Yes 7 (4.8) 126 (29.4) 133 (23.2)   No 138 (95.2) 302 (70.6) 440 (76.8)   Carbamate 0.048   Yes 3 (2.1) 27 (6.3) 30 (5.2)   No 142 (97.9) 401 (93.7) 543 (94.8)   Pyrethroid (0.0) 36 (8.4) 36 (6.3)   No 145 (100.0) 392 (91.6) 537 (93.7)   Others/unknown pesticides (0.01) 392 (91.6) 537 (93.7)   Yes 8 (5.5) 90 (21.0) 98 (17.1)   No 137 (94.5) 338 (79.0) 475 (82.9)	Glyphosate				< 0.001	
No   138 (95.2)   302 (70.6)   440 (76.8)     Carbamate   0.048     Yes   3 (2.1)   27 (6.3)   30 (5.2)     No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid        Yes   0 (0.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   145 (100.0)   39 (91.6)   537 (93.7)     Others/unknown pesticides        Yes   8 (5.5)   90 (21.0)   98 (17.1)     No   137 (94.5)   338 (79.0)   475 (82.9)	Yes	7 (4.8)	126 (29.4)	133 (23.2)		
Carbamate   0.048     Yes   3 (2.1)   27 (6.3)   30 (5.2)     No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid     <0.001	No	138 (95.2)	302 (70.6)	440 (76.8)		
Yes   3 (2.1)   27 (6.3)   30 (5.2)     No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid     <0.001	Carbamate				0.048	
No   142 (97.9)   401 (93.7)   543 (94.8)     Pyrethroid    <	Yes	3 (2.1)	27 (6.3)	30 (5.2)		
Pyrethroid   <0.001     Yes   0 (0.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   145 (100.0)   392 (91.6)   537 (93.7)     Others/unknown pesticides    <0.001	No	142 (97.9)	401 (93.7)	543 (94.8)		
Yes   0 (0.0)   36 (8.4)   36 (6.3)     No   145 (100.0)   392 (91.6)   537 (93.7)     Others/unknown pesticides        Yes   8 (5.5)   90 (21.0)   98 (17.1)     No   137 (94.5)   338 (79.0)   475 (82.9)	Pyrethroid	• •			< 0.001	
No   145 (100.0)   392 (91.6)   537 (93.7)     Others/unknown pesticides    <0.001	Yes	0 (0.0)	36 (8.4)	36 (6.3)		
Others/unknown pesticides   <0.001     Yes   8 (5.5)   90 (21.0)   98 (17.1)     No   137 (94.5)   338 (79.0)   475 (82.9)	No	145 (100.0)	392 (91.6)	537 (93.7)		
Yes8 (5.5)90 (21.0)98 (17.1)No137 (94.5)338 (79.0)475 (82.9)	Others/unknown pesticides				< 0.001	
No 137 (94.5) 338 (79.0) 475 (82.9)	Yes	8 (5.5)	90 (21.0)	98 (17.1)		
	No	137 (94.5)	338 (79.0)	475 (82.9)		

Values shown are n (%) or mean  $\pm$  SD, as appropriate; p was obtained between fatalities and survivors groups.

NS = no significance.

To find predictors of poisoning-related fatalities, binary logistic regression analysis (forward stepwise Wald test) was conducted for the 11 significant variables derived from univariate analysis. Oral administration and suicide attempt were correlated (Pearson's correlation coefficient: 0.502, p < 0.001), but only the latter was included in logistic regression analysis. Paraquat [odds ratio (OR) = 83.39; 95% confidence interval (CI) 19.08–364.53] and organophosphate intoxication (OR = 10.88; 95% CI 1.83–64.72), suicide attempt (OR = 15.98; 95% CI 4.32–59.09), and abnormal body temperature (OR = 2.76, 95% CI 1.03–7.36) were the most

significant predictors associated with poisoning-related fatalities (Table 2).

# 3.3. Characteristics and predictors of fatalities in patients with paraquat poisoning

Because paraquat intoxication was the most significant predictor of fatality and accounted for 83.4% of the poisoningrelated fatalities, the clinical characteristics that were associated with fatality in paraquat intoxication were further examined. The



Fig. 1. Types of pesticides implicated in 573 poisoning cases. The total percentage is >100%, because 20 cases involved more than one kind of pesticide.

patients with paraguat poisoning were divided into fatality and survival groups. There were 215 patients exposed to paraquat during the study period, of whom 121 (56.28%) died during hospitalization with a mean survival of  $3.79 \pm 4.7$  days. Comparison between the fatality and survivor groups showed that five variables—age  $\geq$  60years (26.4% vs. 11.7%, p = 0.007), abnormal body temperature (25.5% vs. 12.9%, p < 0.033), abnormal respiratory rate (15.4% vs. 4.5%, *p* = 0.013), suicide attempt (86.8% vs. 51.1%, p < 0.001) and oral administration (100.0% vs. 87.2%, p < 0.001)were significantly associated with poisoning-related fatality. Concomitant use of alcohol (29.8% vs. 43.6%, p = 0.035) accounted for a lower proportion in the fatality group (Table 3). Moreover, older age (46.8  $\pm$  17.2 vs. 40.3  $\pm$  15.3, p = 0.005), lower initial body temperature (35.9  $\pm$  1.2 vs. 36.4  $\pm$  0.7, p = 0.002) and higher respiratory rate (22.0  $\pm$  6.2 vs. 20.3  $\pm$  2.3, p = 0.018), were also found in the paraguat poisoning fatalities.

In logistic regression analysis of the above significant variables, age  $\geq 60$  years (OR = 4.64; 95% Cl 1.68-12.82), suicide attempt (OR = 9.27; 95% Cl 4.05-21.21), and abnormal body temperature (OR = 2.63; 95% Cl 1.06-6.56) were the three most significant predictors for paraquat fatalities (Table 4).

# 3.4. Characteristics and predictors of fatalities in patients with nonparaquat poisoning

Because of the highly recognizable blue or green color of paraquat, the emergency physician is likely to be able to identify paraquat intoxication when performing gastric lavage. However, pesticides other than paraquat are not recognized easily, especially when patients present to the ED without a pesticide container.

#### Table 2

Predictors associated with poisoning fatality in all patients with pesticide poisoning.

Parameters	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	р
Paraquat poisoning	83.39 (19.08-364.53)	< 0.001
Organophosphate poisoning	10.88 (1.83-64.72)	0.009
Suicide attempt	15.98 (4.32-59.09)	< 0.001
Abnormal body temperature	2.76 (1.03-7.36)	0.043

Oral administration and suicide attempt were correlated; only suicide attempt was included in logistic regression analysis.

Therefore, the differences between fatalities and survivors were analyzed among patients with nonparaquat poisoning to find early prognostic factors. The results are shown in Table 5. Twenty-four (6.7%) of the 358 patients with nonparaquat pesticide poisoning died. The mean survival was  $2.83 \pm 3.2$  days.

In univariate analysis, eight variables were found to be significantly different between the fatality and survival groups in nonparaguat poisoning. These included abnormal body temperature (36.8% vs. 12.8%, p = 0.004), abnormal heart rate (57.1% vs. 29.4%, p = 0.004)p = 0.008), abnormal respiratory rate (42.9% vs. 7.6%, p = 0.001), hypotension (15.0% vs. 2.6%, p = 0.025), consciousness impairment (86.7% vs. 24.2%, p < 0.001), suicide attempt (83.3% vs. 58.7%, p < 0.001)p = 0.017), oral administration (100.0% vs. 82.6%, p = 0.02) and organophosphate poisoning (45.8% vs. 19.5%, p = 0.002) (Table 5) with the fatality group showing higher percentages. A higher initial respiratory rate (26.82  $\pm$  13.47 vs. 20.53  $\pm$  3.43, p < 0.001) was also found in nonparaquat fatalities. The results of binary logistic regression analysis (forward stepwise test) of the above significant variables showed that suicide attempt (OR > 999, p < 0.001) and respiratory distress (OR = 23.25, 95% CI 3.52-153.5, p = 0.001) were the most significant predictors for fatalities among patients with nonparaguat poisoning (Table 6).

# 3.5. Comparison of patients with paraquat and nonparaquat poisoning

All variables were further compared between paraquat and nonparaquat poisoning cases. There was a significantly higher mortality rate in the paraquat group (56.3% vs. 7.0%, p < 0.001), and suicide attempt (71.2% vs. 60.3%, p = 0.009) and oral administration (94.4% vs. 83.8%, p < 0.001) were also seen at higher frequencies in this group (results not shown). Among fatalities, there was no significant difference in the mean survival between the paraquat and nonparaquat groups (3.79 ± 4.74 vs. 2.83 ± 3.20, respectively, p = 0.348).

# 4. Discussion

In the present study, we retrospectively reviewed and identified the available prognostic factors for agricultural pesticide poisoningrelated fatalities in the ED in our hospital from July 1, 2001 to July 31, 2008, a 7-year period. Paraquat and organophosphates were most associated with overall mortality. Suicidal intent and initial abnormal body temperature were also predictors for mortality. In paraquat poisoning, age over 60 years, suicide attempt and abnormal body temperature, especially hypothermia, were risk factors for death. In nonparaquat poisoning, suicide attempt and initial respiratory distress were predictors of mortality.

The most common agricultural chemical exposure in our study involved paraquat, followed by glyphosate and organophosphates. Epidemiologic data from other regions of Taiwan indicated that organophosphate was most common poisoning agent, followed by paraquat [4,13]. Similar results were also demonstrated in foreign countries [12,14]. Eastern Taiwan is still an agricultural area and rice is the main product. Frequent use of this herbicide and easy access may explain why paraquat is the leading pesticide resulting in poisoning in this area. This finding also suggests that farmers may not receive sufficient education regarding the potential lethal effects of paraquat. More detailed caution labels on containers and restrictions on the sale and distribution of paraquat may be needed to prevent the high mortality caused by paraquat intoxication. As seen in the present study, a large number of patients ingest paraquat when attempting suicide.

Serial studies have been done to identify the prognostic factors in poisoning from individual herbicides and insecticides such as

Clinical characteristics and concomitant exposed agents of the fatalities (n = 121) and survivors (n = 94) groups of patients with paraquat poisoning,

	Fatalities ( $n = 121$ ), $n$ (%)	Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 94), <i>n</i> (%)	р
Age (y)	46.8 ± 17.2	40.3 ± 15.3	0.005
Body temperature (°C)	$35.9 \pm 1.2 \ (n = 98)$	$36.4 \pm 0.7 \ (n = 85)$	0.002
Heart rate (/min)	$91.4 \pm 23.6 \ (n = 111)$	$92.9 \pm 20.5 \ (n = 88)$	NS
Respiratory rate (/min)	$22.0 \pm 6.2 \ (n = 104)$	$20.3 \pm 2.3 \ (n = 89)$	0.018
Systolic blood pressure (SBP; mmHg)	$134.5 \pm 27.7 \ (n = 108)$	$134.1 \pm 24.7 \ (n = 85)$	NS
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	$77.1 \pm 18.4 (n = 108)$	$79.3 \pm 13.9 (n = 85)$	NS
Age groups			0.007
≥60 y	32 (26.4)	11 (11.7)	
<60 v	89 (73.6)	83 (88.3)	
Gender			NS
Male	74 (61.2)	54 (57.4)	
Female	47 (38.8)	40 (42.6)	
Body temperature			0.033
Hyperthermia ( $>37.5^{\circ}$ C) or hypothermia ( $<35^{\circ}$ C)	25 (25.5)	11 (12.9)	
Body temperature $35.1-37.4^{\circ}$ C	73 (74.5)	74 (87.1)	
Heart rate		()	NS
Tachycardia ( $>100/min$ ) or bradycardia ( $<60/min$ )	36 (32.4)	36 (40.9)	110
Heart rate 60–100/min	75 (67 6)	52 (59.1)	
Respiratory rate		02 (0011)	0.013
Respiratory distress or necessity of intubation	16 (154)	4 (4 5)	0.015
Respiratory rate 10–24/min	88 (84.6)	85 (95 5)	
SRD	00(04.0)	03 (33.3)	NS
Hypotension (SBD $< 90 \text{ mmHg}$ )	3 (2 8)	2(24)	115
SRP $\geq 00 \text{ mmHg}$	105 (97.2)	2 (2. <del>1</del> ) 83 (97.6)	
$SDI \geq SO (MMMg)$	103 (37.2)	05 (57.0)	NS
Classrow coma scale < 15	10 (20 2)	9 (17 6)	115
Clasgow coma scale $= 15$	15 (25.2) 46 (70.8)	42 (82 A)	
Suiside attempt	40 (70.8)	42 (02.4)	<0.001
Voc	105 (96 9)	49 (51 1)	<0.001
No	105(80.8) 16(122)	46 (31.1)	
Oral administration	10(13.2)	40 (48.9)	<0.001
Voc	121 (100.0)	PD (PT D)	<0.001
No	0(0.0)	12 (12.9)	
NO Concernitant use of alsohol	0(0.0)	12 (12.8)	0.025
	26 (20.8)	41 (42 6)	0.055
ICS	50 (29.8) 85 (70.3)	41 (45.6)	
NO Number of our courts	85 (70.2)	53 (56.4)	NC
Number of exposure agents	4 (2.2)	4 (4 2)	NS
Sinch exect	4 (3.3)	4 (4.3)	
Single agent	117 (96.7)	90 (95.7)	
Concomitant exposed pesticides			210
Organophosphate			NS
Yes	1 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	
No	120 (99.2)	94 (100.0)	
Glyphosate			NS
Yes	1 (0.8)	4 (4.3)	
No	100 (00 0)	00 (05 7)	
	120 (99.2)	90 (95.7)	
Others/unknown pesticides	120 (99.2)	90 (95.7)	NS
Others/unknown pesticides Yes	120 (99.2) 2 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	NS

Values shown are n (%) or mean  $\pm$  SD, as appropriate. NS = no significance.

paraquat [2,3,7,10], organophosphates [6,11,15], and glyphosate [16]. The serum concentration of paraquat and an Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score >20 have been demonstrated to have good accuracy in predicting mortality from paraquat poisoning [2,7,17]. However, monitoring of paraquat concentrations is not available in small and medium sized hospitals, especially in remote areas, and the APACHE II score is complicated and not timely enough for first line emergency physicians. To this end, we identified easily accessible clinical information, including age over 60 years, abnormal body temperature and suicide attempt, as factors predicting high mortality in paraquat poisoning patients. Similarly, several scoring systems have been proposed in specific nonparaquat intoxication. Bilgin et al showed that the GCS, APACHE II, and Simplified Acute Physiology Score II scores had similar predicting impact in evaluating mortality in patients with organophosphate poisoning in the intensive care unit. The GCS score has been considered a superior scoring system because it is easy to perform and does not require complex physiological and laboratory parameters [6]. However, Lee et al proposed that respiratory distress, requirement for hemodialysis, and pulmonary edema were prognostic factors of a poor outcome in glyphosate intoxication [16]. However, the risk factors for overall nonparaguat pesticide poisoning-related fatalities have not been described before. Identification of a suitable system is important for emergency physicians. In the present study, we demonstrated that patients with nonparaquat pesticide poisoning who presented initially with abnormal vital signs, shock, impaired consciousness, and suicide intent were at high risk for poisoning-related fatality. Moreover, organophosphate poisoning accounted for most fatalities among patients with nonparaquat poisoning. Further multivariate analysis demonstrated that initial respiratory distress is a strong predictor for nonparaquat fatality. Similar findings have also been demonstrated in poisoning from individual nonparaquat pesticides, such as organophosphates and glyphosate [9,18-20].

Evidence is accumulating that pesticide self-poisoning is a major but under-recognized public health problem, with

Predictors associated with poisoning fatality in patients with paraquat poisoning.

Parameters	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	р
Age $\geq$ 60 y	4.64 (1.68-12.82)	0.003
Suicide attempt	9.27 (4.05-21.21)	< 0.001
Abnormal body temperature	2.63 (1.06-6.56)	0.038

Oral administration and suicide attempt were correlated; only suicide attempt was included in logistic regression analysis.

250,000–370,000 deaths annually worldwide [21,22]. These deaths represent about a third of suicides globally [22]. Indeed, in our study, suicide attempts were highly associated with mortality in

both the paraquat and nonparaquat groups. Possible approaches to reducing deaths from intentional pesticide ingestion have been addressed, including the following strategies: (1) reduce the availability of highly toxic pesticides, (2) reduce the use of pesticides in acts of self harm, (3) reduce the toxicity of pesticides taken in overdose, and (4) improve the management of pesticide poisoning [21]. These strategies must be undertaken more aggressively in eastern Taiwan.

An interesting finding from our results was that abnormal initial body temperature was a risk factor for mortality both in the overall pesticide and paraquat groups, but not in the nonparaquat group. The majority of the overall pesticide poisoning fatalities were paraquat intoxication; the abnormal body temperature found in the

# Table 5

Clinical characteristics and exposure agents of the fatalities (n = 24) and survivors (n = 334) groups of patients with nonparaquat pesticide poisoning.

	Fatalities ( $n = 24$ ), $n$ (%)	Survivors ( <i>n</i> = 334), <i>n</i> (%)	р
Age (v)	55.1 ± 16.6	48.2 ± 17.6	NS
Body temperature (°C)	35.9 + 1.8 (n = 19)	$36.3 \pm 1.1 \ (n = 296)$	NS
Heart rate (/min)	$92.5 \pm 29.7 (n = 21)$	$91.8 \pm 19.4 (n = 306)$	NS
Respiratory rate (/min)	$268 \pm 135(n-14)$	$205 \pm 34(n-301)$	<0.001
Systolic blood pressure (SBP: mmHg)	$136.9 \pm 39.4 (n - 20)$	$138.8 \pm 28.8 (n = 302)$	<0.001 NS
Distolic blood proscure (mmHg)	$77.0 \pm 22.5 (n - 20)$	$70.4 \pm 17.9 (n - 202)$	NS
	$77.0 \pm 22.3 \ (n = 20)$	$19.4 \pm 11.8 (n = 502)$	IND NC
Age groups	C (25 0)	05 (28.4)	IN S
≥60 y	6 (25.0)	95 (28.4)	
<60 y	18 (75.0)	239 (71.6)	
Gender			NS
Male	14 (58.3)	210 (62.9)	
Female	10 (41.7)	124 (37.1)	
Body temperature			0.004
Hyperthermia (≥37.5°C) or hypothermia (≤35°C)	7 (36.8)	38 (12.8)	
Body temperature 35.1–37.4°C	12 (63.2)	258 (87.2)	
Heart rate			0.008
Tachycardia (>100/min) or bradycardia (<60/min)	12 (57.1)	90 (29.4)	
Heart rate 60–100/min	9 (42.9)	216 (70.6)	
Respiratory rate			0.001
Respiratory distress or necessity of intubation	6 (42.9)	23 (76)	
Respiratory rate 10–24/min	8 (57 1)	278 (92.4)	
SBP	0 (0711)	210 (0211)	0.025
Hypotension (SBP $< 90 \text{ mmHg}$ )	3 (15.0)	8 (2.6)	0.025
SPR $\sim 00 \text{ mm}$ Ug)	17 (95.0)	204(07.4)	
$SBI \geq 50$ mining)	17 (85.0)	254 (57:4)	<0.001
	12 (86.7)	44 (242)	<0.001
	13 (80.7)	44 (24.2)	
Glasgow conta scale = 15	2(13.3)	138 (75.8)	0.017
Suicide attempt	aa (aa a)		0.017
Yes	20 (83.3)	196 (58.7)	
No	4 (16.7)	138 (41.3)	
Oral administration			0.02
Yes	24 (100.0)	276 (82.6)	
No	0 (0.0)	58 (17.4)	
Concomitant use of alcohol			NS
Yes	5 (20.8)	97 (29.0)	
No	19 (79.2)	237 (91.0)	
Number of exposure agents			NS
Multiple agents	2 (8.3)	10 (3.0)	
Single agent	22 (91.7)	324 (97.0)	
Concomitant exposed pesticides			
Organophosphate			0.002
Yes	11 (45.8)	65 (19 5)	
No	13 (54 2)	269 (80.5)	
Clyphocate	15 (51.2)	203 (00.3)	NS
Voc	6 (25.0)	122 (26.5)	115
165 No	0(23.0)	122(50.5)	
NU	18 (75.0)	212 (65.5)	NC
Ver	2 (12 5)	27 (8.1)	INS
Yes	3 (12.5)	27 (8.1)	
INO Demotional d	21 (87.3)	207 (21.2)	NC
Pyrethroid	e (e e)		NS
Yes	0 (0.0)	36 (10.8)	
No	24 (100.0)	298 (89.2)	
Others/unknown pesticides			NS
Yes	6 (25.0)	90 (26.9)	
No	18 (75.0)	244 (73.1)	

Values shown are n (%) or mean  $\pm$  SD, as appropriate.

NS = no significance.

Predictors associated with poisoning fatality in patients with nonparaquat pesticide intoxication.

Parameters	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	р
Suicide attempt	>999 (N/A)	<0.001
Respiratory distress	23.25 (3.521–153.52)	0.001

Oral administration and suicide attempt were correlated; only suicide attempt was included in logistic regression analysis.

fatalities of in the overall pesticide population could be attributed to the paraquat poisoning fatalities. In the paraquat group, the mean body temperature of the patients who died was significantly lower than that of the survivors. Chang et al suggested that initial hypothermia is associated with 30-day mortality in patients with acute paraquat poisoning [23]. In 1997, the United States Environmental Protection Agency observed hypothermia in experimental animals with oral administration of an 50% lethal dose of paraquat [24]. Therefore, hypothermia may be an early poor prognostic sign in patients with paraquat poisoning.

Several limitations exist in the present study. First, although we attempted to incorporate complete data, some data were missing from the charts. Second, ED laboratory data were not available for this study. If laboratory data could be added into the analysis, better objective results could be obtained. Third, newer types of pesticides have been developed recently, but a more detailed classification of these pesticides could not be provided for the present study. A larger prospective study should be performed to verify the risk factors we identified, which may provide more accurate and more rapidly available data for emergency physicians for early risk stratification and treatment.

## Acknowledgments

This study was partly supported by grants from Buddhist Tzu Chi General Hospital (TCRD 102-46, TCRD 100-32 & TCRD 99-42).

### References

- Yang CC, Wu JF, Ong HC, Hung SC, Kuo YP, Sa CH, et al. Taiwan National Poison Center: epidemiologic data 1985–1993. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 1996;34:651– 63.
- [2] Jones AL, Elton R, Flanagan R. Multiple logistic regression analysis of plasma paraquat concentrations as a predictor of outcome in 375 cases of paraquat poisoning. QJM 1999;92:573–8.

- [3] Hwang KY, Lee EY, Hong SY. Paraquat intoxication in Korea. Arch Environ Health 2002;57:162–6.
- [4] Lee HL, Lin HJ, Yeh SY, Chi CH, Guo HR. Etiology and outcome of patients presenting for poisoning to the emergency department in Taiwan: a prospective study. Hum Exp Toxicol 2008;27:373–9.
- [5] Lee HL, Lin HJ, Yeh ST, Chi CH, Guo HR. Presentations of patients of poisoning and predictors of poisoning-related fatality: findings from a hospital-based prospective study. BMC Public Health 2008;8:7.
- [6] Bilgin TE, Camdeviren H, Yapici D, Doruk N, Altunkan AA, Altunkan Z, et al. The comparison of the efficacy of scoring systems in organophosphate poisoning. Toxicol Ind Health 2005;21:141–6.
- [7] Huang NC, Lin SL, Hung YM, Hung SY, Chung HM. Severity assessment in acute paraquat poisoning by analysis of APACHE II score. J Formos Med Assoc 2003; 102:782–7.
- [8] Lee CH, Shih CP, Hsu KH, Hung DZ, Lin CC. The early prognostic factors of glyphosate-surfactant intoxication. Am J Emerg Med 2008;26:275–81.
- [9] Kang EJ, Seok SJ, Lee KH, Gil HW, Yang JO, Lee EY, et al. Factors for determining survival in acute organophosphate poisoning. Korean J Intern Med 2009;24: 362–7.
- [10] Lee EY, Hwang KY, Yang JO, Hong SY. Predictors of survival after acute paraquat poisoning. Toxicol Ind Health 2002;18:201–6.
- [11] Lee P, Tai DY. Clinical features of patients with acute organophosphate poisoning requiring intensive care. Intensive Care Med 2001;27:694–9.
- [12] van der Hoek W, Konradsen F. Risk factors for acute pesticide poisoning in Sri Lanka. Trop Med Int Health 2005;10:589–96.
- [13] Lin LJ, Lin TJ, Shih YL, Tsai JL, Tsai MS. The patients presented in emergency department with poisoning exposure, 1995–2002. J Taiwan Emerg Med 2003; 5:181–9.
- [14] Nagami H, Nishigaki Y, Matsushima S, Matsushita T, Asanuma S, Yajima N, et al. Hospital-based survey of pesticide poisoning in Japan, 1998–2002. Int J Occup Environ Health 2005;11:180–4.
- [15] Munidasa UA, Gawarammana IB, Kularatne SA, Kumarasiri PV, Goonasekera CD. Survival pattern in patients with acute organophosphate poisoning receiving intensive care. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 2004;42:343–7.
- [16] Lee HL, Chen KW, Chi CH, Huang JJ, Tsai LM. Clinical presentations and prognostic factors of a glyphosate-surfactant herbicide intoxication: a review of 131 cases. Acad Emerg Med 2000;7:906–10.
- [17] Senarathna L, Eddleston M, Wilks MF, Woollen BH, Tomenson JA, Roberts DM, et al. Prediction of outcome after paraquat poisoning by measurement of the plasma paraquat concentration. QJM 2009;102:251–9.
- [18] Noshad H, Ansarin K, Ardalan MR, Ghaffari AR, Safa J, Nezami N. Respiratory failure in organophosphate insecticide poisoning. Saudi Med J 2007;28:405–7.
- [19] Gaspari RJ, Paydarfar D. Pathophysiology of respiratory failure following acute dichlorvos poisoning in a rodent model. Neurotoxicology 2007;28:664–71.
- [20] Chen YJ, Wu ML, Deng JF, Yang CC. The epidemiology of glyphosate-surfactant herbicide poisoning in Taiwan, 1986–2007: a poison center study. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2009;47:670–7.
- [21] Gunnell D, Eddleston M. Suicide by intentional ingestion of pesticides: a continuing tragedy in developing countries. Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:902-9.
- [22] Gunnell D, Eddleston M, Phillips MR, Konradsen F. The global distribution of fatal pesticide self-poisoning: systematic review. BMC Public Health 2007;7: 357.
- [23] Chang MW, Chang SS, Lee CC, Sheu BF, Young YR. Hypokalemia and hypothermia are associated with 30-day mortality in patients with acute paraquat poisoning. Am J Med Sci 2008;335:451–6.
- [24] EPA. U. Reregistration Eligibility Decision(RED): Paraquat dichloride. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 1997:EPA 738-F-96-018.